| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | ERAS Society. Accessed August 30, 2024. https://erassociety.org/ | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS Society guidelines | IVA |
| 2 | Batchelor TJP, Rasburn NJ, Abdelnour-Berchtold E et al. Guidelines for enhanced recovery after lung surgery: recommendations of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). <i>Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.</i> 2019;55(1):91–115. [IIIA] [PubMed: 30304509] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op. | IIIA |
| 3 | Altman AD, Robert M, Armbrust R et al. Guidelines for vulvar and vaginal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Society recommendations. <i>Am J Obstet Gynecol</i> . 2020;223(4):475–485. [IIIA] [PubMed: 32717257] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op. | IIIA |
| 4 | Bisch SP, Nelson G. Outcomes of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) in gynecologic oncology: a review. Curr Oncol. 2022;29(2):631–640. [VA] [PubMed: 35200556] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS impact on outcomes are discussed. | VA |
| 5 | Brustia R, Monsel A, Skurzak S et al. Guidelines for perioperative care for liver transplantation: enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) recommendations. <i>Transplantation</i> . 2022;106(3):552–561. [IIIA] [PubMed: 33966024] | Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op | IIIA |
| 6 | Ceresoli M, Braga M, Zanini N et al. Enhanced perioperative care in emergency general surgery: the WSES position paper. <i>World J Emerg Surg.</i> 2023;18(1):47. [VA] [PubMed: 37803362] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Support of ERAS protocols in emergency general surgery. | VA |
| 7 | Chakravarthy VB, Yokoi H, Coughlin DJ, Manlapaz MR, Krishnaney AA. Development and implementation of a comprehensive spine surgery enhanced recovery after surgery protocol: the Cleveland Clinic experience. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E11. [VA] [PubMed: 30933912] | 0 | Implemented an ERAS protocol for spine surgery | n/a | n/a | n/a | Demonstrated success with cost savings and decrease in infection rates and decrease need for transfusions but with noted challenges | VA |
| 8 | Engelman DT, Ben Ali W, Williams JB et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in cardiac surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Society recommendations. JAMA Surg. 2019;154(8):755–766. [IIIA] [PubMed: 31054241] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op. | IIIA |
| 9 | Feldheiser A, Aziz O, Baldini G et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) for gastrointestinal surgery, part 2: consensus statement for anaesthesia practice. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2016;60(3):289–334. [IIIA] [PubMed: 26514824] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations for pre-op, post-op and intra-op for anesthesia care in GI surgery | IIIA |
| 10 | Ghosh A, Chatterji U. An evidence-based review of enhanced recovery after surgery in total knee replacement surgery. <i>J Perioper Proct</i> . 2019;29(9):281–290. [VA] [PubMed: 30212288] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS recommendations for total knee replacement surgery, evidence is reviewed. | VA |
| 11 | Gianotti L, Sandini M, Romagnoli S, Carli F, Ljungqvist O. Enhanced recovery programs in gastrointestinal surgery: actions to promote optimal perioperative nutritional and metabolic care. <i>Clin Nutr.</i> 2020;39(7):2014–2024. [VA] [PubMed: 31699468] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of ERAS in gastrointestinal surgery is discussed. | VA |
| 12 | Gregory AJ, Noss CD, Chun R et al. Perioperative optimization of the cardiac surgical patient. Can J Cardiol . 2023;39(4):497–514. [VA] [PubMed: 36746372] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components in the cardiac surgical patient. | VA |
| 13 | Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Hubner M et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective colorectal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations: 2018. <i>World J Surg.</i> 2019;43(3):659–695. [IIIA] [PubMed: 30426190] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op. | IIIA |
| 14 | Haywood N, Nickel I, Zhang A et al. Enhanced recovery after thoracic surgery. <i>Thorac Surg Clin</i> . 2020;30(3):259–267. [VA] [PubMed: 32593359] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components is patients undergoing thoracic surgery. | VA |
| 15 | Huang J, Cao C, Nelson G, Wilson RD. A review of enhanced recovery after surgery principles used for scheduled caesarean delivery. <i>J Obstet Gynaecol Can.</i> 2019;41(12):1775–1788. [VA] [PubMed: 30442516] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS implementation in patients undergoing C-sections is discussed and literature summarized. | VA |
| 16 | Joliat GR, Kobayashi K, Hasegawa K et al. Guidelines for perioperative care for liver surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations 2022. World J Surg . 2023;47(1):11–34. [IIIA] [PubMed: 36310325] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components in Liver Surgery | IIIA |
| 17 | Klek S, Rymarowicz J, Sobocki J et al. Recommendations for modern perioperative care for elective surgery: consensus of panel of exerts. <i>Pol Przegl Chir</i> . 2023;95(4):1–5. [VA] [PubMed: 36808061] | Qualitative | Delphi study on ERAS recommendations | n/a | n/a | | Review of ERAS protocols and using a Delphi method updated recommendations was undertaken. | VA |
| 18 | Latthe P, Panza J, Marquini GV et al. AUGS-IUGA joint clinical consensus statement on enhanced recovery after urogynecologic surgery: developed by the Joint Writing Group of the International Urogynecological Association and the American Urogynecologic Society. Individual writing group members are noted in the Acknowledgements section. Urogynecology (Phila). 2022;28(11):716–734. [IIIA] [PubMed: 36288110] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components is presented. | IIIA |
| 19 | Low DE, Allum W, De Manzoni G et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in esophagectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. World J Surg. 2019;43(2):299–330. [IIIA] [PubMed: 30276441] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS recommendations for patient undergoing Esophagectomy. | IIIA |
| 20 | Mac Curtain B.M., O'Mahony A, Temperley HC, Ng ZQ. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocols and emergency surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. ANZ J Surg. 2023;93(7-8):1780–1786. [IA] [PubMed: 37282791] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS in emergency surgery enhanced patient recovery without adverse outcomes. | IA |
| 21 | McGinigle KL, Spangler EL, Ayyash K et al. A framework for perioperative care for lower extremity vascular bypasses: a consensus statement by the Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) Society and Society for Vascular Surgery. <i>J Vasc Surg.</i> 2023;77(5):1295–1315. [IIIA] [PubMed: 36931611] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS recommendations for perioperative care in patients undergoing lower extremity vascular surgery. | IIIA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|---|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 22 | McGinigle KL, Spangler EL, Pichel AC et al. Perioperative care in open aortic vascular surgery: a consensus statement by the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society and Society for Vascular Surgery. J Vasc Surg. 2022;75(6):1796–1820. [IIIA] [PubMed: 35181517] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS recommendations for perioperative care in patients undergoing open aortic vascular surgery. | IIIA |
| 23 | Peden CJ, Aggarwal G, Aitken RJ et al. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society consensus guidelines for emergency laparotomy part 3: organizational aspects and general considerations for management of the emergency laparotomy patient. <i>World J Surg.</i> 2023;47(8):1881–1898. [IIIA] [PubMed: 37277506] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS recommendations for emergency laparotomy. | IIIA |
| 23 | Peden, Carol J., Aggarwal, Geeta, Aitken, Robert J., et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care for Emergency Laparotomy Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society Recommendations: Part 1â€″Preoperative: Diagnosis, Rapid Assessment and Optimization 2021 | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS components for emergency laparotomy patients is recommended. | |
| 24 | Peden CJ, Aggarwal G, Aitken RJ et al. Guidelines for perioperative care for emergency laparotomy Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations: Part 1—preoperative: diagnosis, rapid assessment and optimization. <i>World J Surg.</i> 2021;45(5):1272–1290. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Provides recommendations for ERAS in patients undergoing emergency laparotomy and discusses less commone aspects of care for the surgical patient. | IIIA |
| 25 | Persing S, Manahan M, Rosson G. Enhanced recovery after surgery pathways in breast reconstruction. <i>Clin Plast Surg</i> . 2020;47(2):221–243. [VA] [PubMed: 32115049] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS protocols in breast reconstruction surgery. | VA |
| 26 | Peters EJ, Robinson M, Serletis D. Systematic review of enhanced recovery after surgery in patients undergoing cranial surgery. <i>World Neurosurg</i> . 2022;158:279–289. [IIIA] [PubMed: 34740831] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS is safe and has potentially favorable outcomes for patients undergoing cranial surgery, nore studies should be conducted in this population. | IIIA |
| 27 | Sorabella LL, Bauchat JR. Enhanced recovery after surgery: cesarean delivery. Anesthesiol Clin. 2021;39(4):743–760. [VA] [PubMed: 34776107] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS overview of patients undergoing cesarean delivery. | VA |
| 28 | Suharwardy S, Carvalho B. Enhanced recovery after surgery for cesarean delivery. <i>Curr Opin</i> Obstet Gynecol. 2020;32(2):113–120. [VA] [PubMed: 32068543] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS recommendations is C-section patients. | VA |
| 29 | Wainwright TW, Gill M, McDonald DA et al. Consensus statement for perioperative care in total hip replacement and total knee replacement surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. Acta Orthop. 2020;91(1):3–19. [IIIA] [PubMed: 31663402] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Updated recommendations from the ERAS society for total hip and knee replacement surgery. | IIIA |
| 30 | Nelson G, Wang X, Nelson A et al. Evaluation of the implementation of multiple enhanced recovery after surgery pathways across a provincial health care system in Alberta, Canada. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(8):e2119769. [VA] [PubMed: 34357394] | Organization al Experience | • | n/a | n/a | n/a | S ERAS pathways (colorectal, liver, pancreas, gyn, and radical cystectomy) across 9 sites were implemented. Implementation resulted in improved health care practitioner adherence, LOS and readmission rates. | VA |
| 31 | Enhanced Recovery after Surgery: Considerations for Pathway Development and Implementation. American Association of Nurse Anesthesiology (AANA). November 2, 2023. Accessed August 21, 2024. https://issuu.com/aanapublishing/docs/5 _enhanced_recovery_after_surgery?fr=sY2Y2YTU2NDAxMjU [VA] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | AANA guidance on creating a ERAS pathway and implementation | |
| 32 | Debono B, Corniola MV, Pietton R, Sabatier P, Hamel O, Tessitore E. Benefits of enhanced recovery after surgery for fusion in degenerative spine surgery: impact on outcome, length of stay, and patient satisfaction. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E6. [IIA] [PubMed: 30933923] | Quasi- experimental | ERAS group-1920, before ERAS group 1563 all patients undergoing fusion in degenerative spine surgery | ERAS protocol | Before ERAS | Pt outcomes, LOS, patient satisfaction | The ERAS group had a significant reduction in LOS with no increased postop complications, high patient satisfaction. | IIA |
| 33 | Cerantola Y, Valerio M, Persson B et al. Guidelines for perioperative care after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. <i>Clin Nutr.</i> 2013;32(6):879–887. [IIIA] [PubMed: 24189391] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Evidence based recommendations in pre-op, post-op and intra-op major urological surgery | IIIA |
| 34 | Baimas-George M, Cochran A, Tezber K et al. A 2-year experience with enhanced recovery after surgery: evaluation of compliance and outcomes in pancreatic surgery. <i>J Nurs Care Qual</i> . 2021;36(2):E24–E28. [IIA] [PubMed: 32282506] | Quasi- experimental | 99 pts pre-ERAS, 116 with ERAS in elective pancreatic surgery | ERAS program | No ERAS program | Outcomes, cost and compliance measures | Significant decrease in LOS and cost, signitican increase in compliance with ERAS implementation, Post op complications, readmission and survival rates did not increase. | IIA |
| 35 | Changjun C, Jingkun L, Yun Y et al. Enhanced recovery after total joint arthroplasty (TJA): a contemporary systematic review of clinical outcomes and usage of key elements. Orthop Surg . 2023;15(5):1228–1240. [IIIA] [PubMed: 36971112] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS for TJA has favorable clinical outcomes in terms of reducing LOS and overall pain, saving costs, accelerating functional recovery, and reducing complications, although the evidence is still low in quality | |
| 36 | Docherty J, Morgan-Bates K, Stather P. A systematic review and meta-analysis of enhanced recovery for open abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. <i>Vasc Endovasc Surg.</i> 2022;56(7):655–664. [IIIA] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The meta-analysis demonstrates significant benefits of an ERAS program in patients undergoing open AAA surgery. More studies are needed. | IIIA |
| 37 | Huang ZD, Gu HY, Zhu J et al. The application of enhanced recovery after surgery for upper gastrointestinal surgery: meta-analysis. <i>BMC Surg</i> . 2020;20(1):3. [IA] [PubMed: 31900149] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocols can reduce the risk of postoperative lung infection and accelerating patient recovery, more research is needed. time | IA |
| 38 | Klek S, Salowka J, Choruz R et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol is a safe and effective approach in patients with gastrointestinal fistulas undergoing reconstruction: results from a prospective study. <i>Nutrients</i> . 2021;13(6):1953. [IIIB] [PubMed: 34200140] | Nonexperim ental | One facility implemented and improved on an ERAS protocol | n/a | n/a | Complications, LOS, PONV, bowel function, readmission rates | Improvements in surgical outcomes was seen, audits of the program should be undertaken. | IIIB |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| 39 | Lohsiriwat V, Jitmungngan R, Chadbunchachai W, Ungprasert P. Enhanced recovery after surgery in emergency resection for obstructive colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta- analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2020;35(8):1453–1461. [IIA] [PubMed: 32572602] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS had advantages over conventional care in patients undergoing emergency resection for obstructive colorectal cancer—including a shorter length of hospitalization, a lower incidence of overall complication, and a quicker gastrointestinal recovery | |
| 40 | Mohamed Ibrahim SM, Mahmoud El-Sheikh MA, Salama Abdelfattah AM. Effect of enhanced recovery after surgery protocol on postoperative outcomes of women undergoing abdominal hysterectomy. SAGE Open Nurs. 2023:23779608231165948. [IIA] | Quasi- experimental | 148 women undergoing abdominal hysterectomy | ERAS protocol <i>n=74</i> | Traditional care <i>n=74</i> | Postoperative pain, complications and readmissions | Women who received the ERAS protocol had less pain and less complications or readmissions. | IIA |
| 41 | Navarro-Martínez S, Sebastián-Tomás JC, Diez Ares JÁ, et al. Enhanced recovery after bariatric surgery (ERABS) protocol implementation in a laparoscopic center. <i>Minimally Invasive Ther Allied</i> <i>Technol.</i> 2022;31(2):269–275. [IIB] | Quasi- experimental | 200 patients undergoing bariatric surgery | ERAS protocol <i>n=80</i> | Traditional care n=120 | LOS and postoperative complications | Patients in the ERAS group had a better postoperative recovery, shorter LOS. | IIB |
| 42 | Ni X, Jia D, Guo Y, Sun X, Suo J. The efficacy and safety of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program in laparoscopic digestive system surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Surg . 2019;69:108–115. [IA] [PubMed: 31376511] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The results indicated that the ERAS program is associated with faster postoperative rehabilitation, shorter LOS, and better postoperative complication rates. The use of the ERAS program for laparoscopic digestive system surgery is more effective and safe than TPC, and it should be recommended. | IA |
| 43 | Noba L, Rodgers S, Chandler C, Balfour A, Hariharan D, Yip VS. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) reduces hospital costs and improve clinical outcomes in liver surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Surg. 2020;24(4):918–932. [IIA] [PubMed: 31900738] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocols are safe and feasible in this patient population, reduces LOS and risk of complications with significant cost savings. More research on clinical outcomes. | IIA |
| 44 | Noba L, Rodgers S, Doi L, Chandler C, Hariharan D, Yip V. Costs and clinical benefits of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) in pancreaticoduodenectomy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.</i> 2023;149(9):6639–6660. [IIA] [PubMed: 36629919] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review demonstrated that ERAS is safe and feasible in pancreatic duodenectomy, improves clinical outcomes such as LOS, complications, delayed gastgric emptying and mortality rates, without changing readmissions and reoperations, while delivering signifcant cost savings. Higher compliance is associated with better clinical outcomes, especially LOS and complications. | IIA |
| 45 | Robella M, Tonello M, Berchialla P et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program for patients with peritoneal surface malignancies undergoing cytoreductive surgery with or without HIPEC: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. <i>Cancers</i> (Basel). 2023;15(3):570. [IIIA] [PubMed: 36765534] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementation of an ERAS protocol may reduce LOS, postoperative complications after Cytoreductive surgery with or without HIPEC compared to conventional recovery | IIIA |
| 46 | Rosa F, Longo F, Pozzo C et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) versus standard recovery for gastric cancer patients: the evidences and the issues. <i>Surg Oncol</i> . 2022;41:101727. [VA] [PubMed: 35189515] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS studies and guidelines in patients undergoing surgery for gastric cancer. Adherence to an ERAS protocol leads to an overall reduction in costs and LOS, complications and readmission rates. | VA |
| 47 | Shen Y, Lv F, Min S et al. Impact of enhanced recovery after surgery protocol compliance on patients' outcome in benign hysterectomy and establishment of a predictive nomogram model. BMC Anesthesiol. 2021;21(1):289. [IIIA] [PubMed: 34809583] | Nonexperim ental | Patients undergoing open or laparoscopic hysterectomy for benign conditions, n=475 | n/a | n/a | Postoperative complications (PONV, moderate to severe pain, DVT, SSI, pulmonary infection) | Improved compliance with the ERAS protocol was associated with improved recovery and better patient experience undergoing hysterectomy. MIS, PONV prophylaxis, early mobilization, early oral intake, and early removal of urinary drainage were of concern in reducing postoperative complications. | IIIA |
| 48 | Soffin EM, Wetmore DS, Barber LA et al. An enhanced recovery after surgery pathway: association with rapid discharge and minimal complications after anterior cervical spine surgery. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E9. [IIIB] [PubMed: 30933926] | Nonexperim ental | 33 spine surgery patients under an ERAS pathway | n/a | n/a | Perioperative opioid use, postop complications and LOS | The ERAS pathway promoted safe, prompt discharge and was associated with minimal complications and no 90 day readmissions. | , |
| 49 | Sun Z, Qi Y. Application of enhanced recovery after surgery care protocol in the perioperative care of patients undergoing lumbar fusion and internal fixation. <i>J Orthop Surg Res</i> . 2022;17(1):240. [IA] [PubMed: 35436890] | RCT | Patients undergoing lumbar fusion and internal fixation | ERAS protocol <i>n=86</i> | Traditional care <i>n=80</i> | Pain, self-care ability and degree of recovery | LOS and complications were lower in the ERAS group, no difference in cost, self care ability was significantly enhanced and patients in the ERAS group recovered rapidly. | IA |
| 50 | Wang S, Wang P, Li X, Sun W, Kong C, Lu S. Enhanced recovery after surgery pathway: association with lower incidence of wound complications and severe hypoalbuminemia in patients undergoing posterior lumbar fusion surgery. <i>J Orthop Surg Res</i> . 2022;17(1):178. [IIA] [PubMed: 35331289] | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing posterior lumbar fusion surgery | ERAS protocol <i>n=530</i> | Non-ERAS group n=530 | Postoperative wound complications, postop complications, LOS and 90-day readmissions | ERAS group had lower incidence of postop wound related complications, and a lower incidence of severe hypoalbuninemia, also a shorter LOS and lower rate of readmissions. | IIA |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 51 | Wang YL, Zhang FB, Zheng LE, Yang WW, Ke LL. Enhanced recovery after surgery care to reduce surgical site wound infection and postoperative complications for patients undergoing liver surgery. <i>Int Wound J.</i> 2023;20(9):3540–3549. [IIA] [PubMed: 37218367] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS was safe and feasible when applied to liver resection, reducing the incidence of wound infection and total postoperative complications, and shortening the length of hospital stay. However, further studies are required to investigate the impact of ERAS protocols on clinical outcomes. | IIA |
| 52 | Pędziwiatr M, Mavrikis J, Witowski J et al. Current status of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in gastrointestinal surgery. <i>Med Oncol</i> . 2018;35(6):95. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses the advantages of ERAS porgrams on patients undergoing gastroentestinal surgery. | VA |
| 53 | Zhu W, Yan Y, Sun Y et al. Implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol for elderly patients receiving surgery for intertrochanteric fracture: a propensity score-matched analysis. J Orthop Surg Res. 2021;16(1):469. [IIA] [PubMed: 34315507] | Quasi- experimental | Elderly patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture | ERAS protocol <i>n=92</i> | Non-ERAS protocol n=98 | LOS, pain, complications, functional recovery of the joint | LOS was significantly reduced and the complication rate was lower in the ERAS group. Additionally pain was alleviated and there was early recovery of the patient's hip function. | IIA |
| 54 | Agüero-Martínez MO, Tapia-Figueroa VM, Hidalgo-Costa T. Improved recovery protocols in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational and quasi-experimental studies. MEDICC Rev. 2021;23(3-4):46–53. [IIIA] [PubMed: 34516536] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Improved recovery protocols in cardiac surgery reduce perioperative complications in patients and decrease the incidence of hospital readmission in the 30 days after surgery, and also reduce the length of stays in intensive care units and hospitals. | |
| 55 | Ahmed OS, Rogers AC, Bolger JC, Mastrosimone A, Robb WB. Meta-analysis of enhanced recovery protocols in bariatric surgery. <i>J Gastrointest Surg.</i> 2018;22(6):964–972. [IIA] [PubMed: 29488124] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocols were associated with a savings of 19.5 minutes of operative time, shortened LOS and improved morbidity. | |
| 56 | Arena S, Di Fabrizio D, Impellizzeri P, Gandullia P, Mattioli G, Romeo C. Enhanced recovery after gastrointestinal surgery (ERAS) in pediatric patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastrointest Surg. 2021;25(11):2976–2988. [IIA] [PubMed: 34244952] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Length of stay, intraoperative fluid volume, post- operative opioid use, time to first defecation, time to regular diet, time to intravenous fluid stop, and costs were significantly lower in the ERAS patients. | IIA |
| 57 | Burchard PR, Dave YA, Loria AP et al. Early postoperative ERAS compliance predicts decreased length of stay and complications following liver resection. <i>HPB</i> (Oxford). 2022;24(9):1425–1432. [IIIA] [PubMed: 35135723] | Nonexperim ental | 210 patients who underwent liver resection with an ERAS protocol-retrospective review | n/a | n/a | Length of stay | ERAS program decreased length of stay | IIIA |
| 58 | Cao S, Zhang Y, Lin B, Chen J, Chen X, Zhuang C. Enhanced recovery after gynecological surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>Nurs Health Sci</i> . 2023;25(1):30–43. [IA] [PubMed: 36464803] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | There was a significant reduction in LOS, complications and admission rate and a normal functional return. There is still heterogeneity between studies, more research is needed. | IA |
| 59 | Carr DA, Saigal R, Zhang F, Bransford RJ, Bellabarba C, Dagal A. Enhanced perioperative care and decreased cost and length of stay after elective major spinal surgery. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E5. [IIIA] [PubMed: 30933922] | Quasi- experimental | 620 ERAS patients and 183 non-ERAS patients undergoing elective major spinal surgery | ERAS protocol | non-ERAS protocol | Total cost and LOS | The implementation of a multimodal EPOC pathway decreased LOS and cost in major elective spine surgeries | IIIA |
| 60 | Elsarrag M, Soldozy S, Patel P et al. Enhanced recovery after spine surgery: a systematic review. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E3. [IIIA] [PubMed: 30933920] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Low-certainty evidence suggests that ERAS programmes may shorten length of postoperative hospital stay, reduce readmissions, and facilitate postoperative bowel function recovery without compromising participant safety. Further well-conducted studies are required in order to validate the certainty of these findings. | ΙB |
| 61 | Elsarrag, Mazin, Soldozy, Sauson, Patel, Parantap, et al. Enhanced recovery after spine surgery: a systematic review 2019 | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS applied to spine surgery reduced LOS, accelerated return to function, minimized post-op pain and was cost saving. | IIIA |
| 62 | Jeong O, Jang A, Jung MR, Kang JH, Ryu SY. The benefits of enhanced recovery after surgery for gastric cancer: a large before-and-after propensity score matching study. <i>Clin Nutr</i> . 2021;40(4):2162–2168. [IIA] [PubMed: 33069509] | Quasi- experimental | 989 patients undergoing gastrectomy | 565 ERAS patients | 424 non-ERAS patients | LOS, readmission rates, morbidty, mortality, complications. | Demonstrated that ERAS was associated with a 3-day reduction in hospital stay without increased readmission after gastrectomy. This study validated the benefits of ERAS in the clinical setting of gastrectomy. | IIA |
| 63 | Greer N, Sultan S, Shaukat A et al. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Programs for Patients Undergoing Colorectal Surgery. Washington, DC: Department of Veterans Affairs; 2017. Accessed August 21, 2024. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519374/ [IIA] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations in pre-op. intra-op and post-op in colorectal surgery | IIA |
| 64 | Jung HC. Utilizing enhanced recovery after surgery pathway in radical cystectomy to decrease hospital length of stay: a systematic review. <i>Urol Nurs</i> . 2022;42(6):291–301. [IIIA] | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Reported a significantly lower hospital LOS in patient receiving an ERAS protocol. | IIIA |
| 65 | Ni X, Jia D, Chen Y, Wang L, Suo J. Is the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program effective and safe in laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery? A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Gastrointest Surg. 2019;23(7):1502–1512. [IA] [PubMed: 30859422] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS is safe and effective in laparoscopic colorectal surgery and should be implemented. | IA |

| REFERENCE # | | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|--|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------|
| 66 | Kamal YA, Hassanein A. Do perioperative protocols of enhanced recovery after cardiac surgery improve postoperative outcome? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2020;30(5):706–710. [VA] [PubMed: 32236541] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Postoperative outcomes are better in ERAS protocol patients. | VA |
| 67 | Liska D, Cengiz TB, Novello M et al. Do patients with inflammatory bowel disease benefit from an enhanced recovery pathway? <i>Inflamm Bowel Dis.</i> 2020;26(3):476–483. [IIA] [PubMed: 31372647] | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing surgery for inflammatory bowel disease | ERAS pathway n=246 | No ERAS pathway n=425 | LOS and costs | ERAS group had a shorter LOS and reduced costs. | IIA |
| 68 | Maj G, Regesta T, Campanella A, Cavozza C, Parodi G, Audo A. Optimal management of patients treated with minimally invasive cardiac surgery in the era of enhanced recovery after surgery and fast-track protocols: a narrative review. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2022;36(3):766–775. [VA] [PubMed: 33840614] | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS use in patients undergoing minimally invasive cardiac procedures is feasible and safe with improved outcomes. | VA |
| 69 | Melhem AM, Ramly EP, Al Abyad OS et al. Enhanced recovery after cleft lip repair: protocol development and implementation in outreach settings. <i>Cleft Palate Craniofac J.</i> 2023;60(6):724–733. [VA] [PubMed: 35167405] | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementing an ERAS protocol in this patient population was highly effective in decreasing postoperative pain and reducing opioids and LOS. | VA |
| 70 | Miguet C, Jauffret C, Zemmour C et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery and endometrial cancers: results from an initial experience focused on elderly patients. <i>Cancers</i> (Basel). 2023;15(12):3244. [PubMed: 37370854] | Quasi- experimental | 427 Endometrial cancer patients | ERAS protocol <i>n=261</i> | Traditional care n=166 | LOS, early discharge, post- op morbidity and rehospitalization | ERAS group had significantly shorter LOS and higher early discharge. | IIA |
| 71 | Nair A, Al-Aamri HHM, Borkar N, Rangaiah M, Haque PW. Application of enhanced recovery after surgery pathways in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy with and without common bile duct exploration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J.</i> 2023;23(2):148–157. [PubMed: 37377820] | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | LOS, time to first flatus, PONV and pain scores were significantly less in the ERAS group than in the conventional one, while readmission and complications were comparable in both groups. | IA |
| 72 | Nair A, Mohammed Al-Aamri HH, Ishaq OA, Haque PW. Enhanced recovery after surgery pathways for patients undergoing laparoscopic appendectomy: a systematic review and meta- analysis. <i>J Acute Dis</i> . 2022;11(5):173–180. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS pathways have a shorter length of stay and earlier postoperative feed initiation for adult patients undergoing laparoscopic appendectomy compared with standard care. Both approaches have similar operative time, surgical site infection incidence, and readmission rate | IA |
| 73 | O'Neill AM, Calpin GG, Norris L, Beirne JP. The impact of enhanced recovery after gynaecological surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Gynecol Oncol</i> . 2023;168:8–16. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS pathways significantly reduce length of stay without increasing readmission rates or rates of ileus across benign and oncological gynaecological surgery | IIA |
| 74 | Roldan HA, Brown AR, Radey J, Hogenbirk JC, Allen LR. Enhanced recovery after surgery reduces length of stay after colorectal surgery in a small rural hospital in Ontario. <i>Can J Rural Med</i> . 2023;28(4):179–189. | experimental | Patients undergoing colorectal surgery in a small rural hospital | ERAS protocol <i>n=40</i> | Traditional care (non ERAS) <i>n=40</i> | LOS | LOS was less in the ERAS patient group. | IIB |
| 75 | Sánchez-Iglesias JL, Carbonell-Socias M, Pérez-Benavente MA et al. PROFAST: a randomised trial implementing enhanced recovery after surgery for high complexity advanced ovarian cancer surgery. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> . 2020;136:149–158. | RCT | Women undergoing surgery for ovarian cancer | ERAS protocol <i>n=50</i> | Traditional care <i>n=49</i> | LOS, complications, readmission, mortality | Patients in the ERAS arm had a decreased LOS and decreased rate of readmission. ERAS should be standard of care. | IA |
| 76 | Shao X, Li R, Zhang L, Jiang W. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocol for oblique lumbar interbody fusion. <i>Indian J Orthop</i> . 2022;56(6):1073–1082. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing spine surgery | ERAS protocol <i>n=39</i> | Traditional care (non ERAS) <i>n=37</i> | LOS, blood loss, cost, time to walk, blood transfusion, complications | ERAS program decreased length of stay, accelerated functional recovery and decreased costs. | IIA |
| 77 | Staartjes VE, de Wispelaere MP, Schröder ML. Improving recovery after elective degenerative spine surgery: 5-year experience with an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E7. | Nonexperim ental | 2592 patients undergoing elective spine surgery-ERAS protocols for 5 years | n/a | n/a | LOS, readmissions, cost | 94% of patients were discharged after 1 night, over the 5 year period there was a trend towards a higher proportion of patients being discharged sooner. A decrease in adversie events and no increase in readmissions, an estimated reduction in nursing cost of 46.8% | IIIA |
| 78 | Szerlip M, Tabachnick D, Hamandi M et al. Safe implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement. <i>Proc</i> (Bayl Univ Med Cent). 2020;34(1):5–10. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) | ERAS protocol <i>n=121</i> | Pre-ERAS patients n=368 | LOS, complications, readmission, mortality | ERAS protocol was associated with shorter LOS without readmission. | IIA |
| 79 | Tan YY, Liaw F, Warner R, Myers S, Ghanem A. Enhanced recovery pathways for flap-based reconstruction: systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Aesthetic Plast Surg.</i> 2021;45(5):2096–2115. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS pathways reduced LOS and should be adapted to each institution according to needs, resources and caseload. | IIIA |
| 80 | Tarıkçı Kılıç E, Demirbilek T, Naderi S. Does an enhanced recovery after surgery protocol change costs and outcomes of single-level lumbar microdiscectomy? <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E10. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing single level lumbar microdisectomy | ERAS protocol <i>n=60</i> | Non-ERAS protocol n=60 | Cost effectiveness and post-op outcomes | The ERAS group had decreased OR time, intraoperative blood loss and decreased intraoperative opioid administration. First oral intake and mobilization were earlier in the ERAS group and they hadd less PONV. LOS was shorter and there was less pain in the ERAS group. Found to be cost effective. | IIA |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------|
| 81 | Visioni A, Shah R, Gabriel E, Attwood K, Kukar M, Nurkin S. Enhanced recovery after surgery for noncolorectal surgery?: a systematic review and meta-analysis of major abdominal surgery. Ann Surg. 2018;267(1):57–65. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocols decreased length of stay and cost by not increasing complications or readmission rates. This study adds to the evidence that ERAS protocols are safe to implement and are beneficial to surgicalpatients and the healthcare system across multiple abdominal procedures. | IIA |
| 82 | Wang P, Wang Q, Kong C et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program for elderly patients with short-level lumbar fusion. <i>J Orthop Surg Res</i> . 2020;15(1):299. | Quasi- experimental | Patients over the age of 65 undergoing short- level lumbar fusion | ERAS protocol <i>n=96</i> | Non-ERAS group <i>n=96</i> | Patient satisfaction, complications, LOS, postop pain and 30 day readmission rates. | The ERAS group had a statistically significant shorter LOS. | IIA |
| 83 | Wee IJY, Syn NL, Shabbir A, Kim G, So JBY. Enhanced recovery versus conventional care in gastric cancer surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized and non-randomized controlled trials. <i>Gastric Cancer</i> . 2019;22(3):423–434 | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Compared to conventional care, ERAS reduces hospital stay, costs, surgical stress response and time to return of gut function, without increasing post-operative morbidity in gastric cancer surgery. However, precaution is necessary to reduce the increased risk of hospital readmission when adopting ERAS. | IIIA |
| 84 | Zaed I, Bossi B, Ganau M, Tinterri B, Giordano M, Chibbaro S. Current state of benefits of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) in spinal surgeries: a systematic review of the literature. <i>Neurochirurgie</i> . 2022;68(1):61–68. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS appears to be helpful at reducing LOS and decreased complication rates, there is a lack of high quality evidence in this population, more RCTs should be conducted. | IIIA |
| 85 | Zhang H, Wang Z, Li K. Clinical application of enhanced recovery after surgery in lumbar disk herniation patients undergoing dynamic stabilization and discectomy. <i>J Back Musculoskeletal</i> <i>Rehabil.</i> 2022;35(1):47–53. | Quasi- experimental | 119 patients undergoing lumbar disk herniation stabilization and discectomy surgery | ERAS protocol <i>n=56</i> | Traditional care <i>n=62</i> | Patient reported outcomes, pain, function | the ERAS group had lower postoperative VAS score and ODI and higher postoperative JOA score and rate of improved JOA score compared with the control group. Intraoperative blood loss, operation time, ambulation time and length of stay were all lower in the ERAS group than in the control group | IIA |
| 86 | Nguyen Y, Fernandez L, Trainer B, McNulty M, Kazior MR. Decreased length of stay and opioid usage after liver cancer surgery with enhanced recovery pathway implementation. <i>Qual Manag</i> <i>Health Care</i> . 2023;32(4):217–221. | Organization al Experience | | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS program implemented for patients undergoing liver cancer surgery, all areas of periop were covered. The implementation decreased LOS and perioperative opioid consumption. | VA |
| 87 | Bowles LA, Heet W, Waterbeck J, Chastain L, Monroe M, Davies CC. The effect of an enhanced recovery after surgery protocol on opioid consumption, pain and length of stay among patients undergoing prostatectomy and nephrectomy. <i>J Perioper Nurs</i> . 2022;35(2):e-27–e-31. | Quasi- experimental | 303 patients undergoing prostatectomy and nephrectomy | ERAS protocol <i>n=170</i> | Traditional protocol (non-ERAS) <i>n=133</i> | Opioid consumption, pain, LOS | Significant difference for all three outcomes. | IIA |
| 88 | Brown ML, Simpson V, Clark AB et al. ERAS implementation in an urban patient population undergoing gynecologic surgery. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2022;85(Pt B):1–11. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing gyn surgery in one facility | ERAS protocol n=271 | Non-ERAS group n=318 | LOS, pain, opioid use, readmission rates | ERAS group had shorter LOS, decrease in pain and a decrease in opioid use. | IIA |
| 89 | Flanders TM, Ifrach J, Sinha S et al. Reduction of postoperative opioid use after elective spine and peripheral nerve surgery using an enhanced recovery after surgery program. <i>Pain Med</i> . 2020;21(12):3283–3291. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing elective spine and peripheral nerve surgery. | ERAS protocol-1,141 patients | 149 patients without an ERAS protocol | Opioid use one month post surgery, opioid use on postop day 1 and at 3 and 6 months post surgery, inpatient pain scores, patient satisfaction scores, postop foley catheter removal, ambulation on day 1, LOS, complications and ICU admissions. | Significant reduction in the use of opioids at 1, 3 and 6 months, PCA use nearly eliminated in the ERAS group, ERAS patients mobilized faster and fewer requirted catheterization, ERAS group had a decreased LOS. | IIA |
| 90 | Chen L, Zheng J, Kong D, Yang L. Effect of enhanced recovery after surgery protocol on patients who underwent off-pump coronary artery bypass graft. <i>Asian Nurs Res</i> (Korean Soc Nurs Sci). 2020;14(1):44–49. | Quasi- experimental | 94 patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass graft | ERAS protocol n=47 | Traditional protocol (non-ERAS) n=47 | Effect on patients | ERAS improved pt knowledge, shortened fasting time, increased patient activity and improved 6 minute walk test. | IIA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 91 | Tan JQ, Chen YB, Wang WH, Zhou SL, Zhou QL, Li P. Application of enhanced recovery after surgery in perioperative period of tympanoplasty and mastoidectomy. <i>Ear Nose Throat J</i> . 2021;100(10_suppl):10455–1049S. | RCT | Patients undergoing tympanoplasty and mastoidectomy | ERAS protocol <i>n=35</i> | Non-ERAS protocol n=30 | Patient anxiety, post-op pain, comfort level | ERAS group had decreased anxiety and pain and improved comfort. | IB |
| 92 | Ji ZW, Fan CY, Yu ZL, Wu XX, Mao HQ. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) relieves psychological stress in patients with osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture undergoing percutaneous kyphoplasty: an observational retrospective cohort study. J Orthop Surg Res. 2023;18(1):218. | Quasi- experimental | 532 undergoing percutaneous kyphoplasty | ERAS protocol n=251 | non-ERAS protocol n=281 | Rate of depression and anxiety. | Patients in the ERAS group had a decrease in depression and anxiety. | IIA |
| 93 | de Boer HD, Scott MJ, Fawcett WJ. Anaesthesia role in enhanced recovery after surgery: a revolution in care outcomes. <i>Curr Opin Anaesthesiol</i> . 2023;36(2):202–207. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components in all phases of care, auditing and outcomes, cost. | VA |
| 94 | Krupa S, Ozga D, Kolowca M, Widenka K. Effect of the 'enhanced recovery after surgery protocol' on the workload of nurses in cardiac patients. 94. 2020;22(3):146–151. | Quasi- experimental | 100 surgical patients | ERAS protocol n=50 | Non-ERAS protocol n=50 | Workload of nurses | The workload of nurses is lower in the ERAS group. | IIB |
| 95 | Lovely JK, Hyland SJ, Smith AN, Nelson G, Ljungqvist O, Parrish RH 2nd. Clinical pharmacist perspectives for optimizing pharmacotherapy within enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programs. Int J Surg. 2019;63:58–62. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses the role of pharmacotherapy in ERAS and pharmacist led endeavors. | VA |
| 96 | Bicket MC, Brat GA, Hutfless S, Wu CL, Nesbit SA, Alexander GC. Optimizing opioid prescribing and pain treatment for surgery: review and conceptual framework. <i>Am J Health-Syst Pharm</i> . 2019;76(18):1403–1412. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Described an urgent need for interventions to address the opioid crisis, including providing ERAS programs and multi-modal pain analgesia. | VA |
| 97 | Martin L, Gillis C, Ljungqvist O. Preoperative nutrition care in enhanced recovery after surgery programs: are we missing an opportunity? <i>Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care</i> . 2021;24(5):453–463. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The importance of preoperative nutrition and screening patients is discussed. | VA |
| 98 | Afonso AM, Tokita HK, McCormick PJ, Twersky RS. Enhanced recovery programs in outpatient surgery. Anesthesiol Clin. 2019;37(2): 225–238. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses the application of ERAS to ambulatory surgery settings. | |
| 99 | Cukierman DS, Cata JP, Gan TJ. Enhanced recovery protocols for ambulatory surgery. <i>Best Proct Res Clin Anaesthesiol.</i> 2023;37(3):285–303. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Reviews the current evidence on ERAS elements and implementing them in ambulatory surgery. | VA |
| 100 | Kaye AD, Renschler J, Cramer K et al. The role of clinical pharmacology in enhanced recovery after surgery protocols: a comprehensive review. <i>Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther</i> . 2020;52(2):154–164. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocol in ambulatory surgery including pharmacology are discussed. | VA |
| 101 | Powers BK, Ponder HL, Findley R et al. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society abdominal and thoracic surgery recommendations: a systematic review and comparison of guidelines for perioperative and pharmacotherapy core items. <i>World J Surg.</i> 2024;48(3):509–523. | Systematic Review | 21 ERAS guidelines | n/a | n/a | n/a | Consensus was found for aspects in 21 current ERAS guidelines related to pharmacotherapy choice, details related to doses, regimen, timing of administration as well as unique aspects per taining to specific surgeries remain to be researched and harmonized to promote guideline consistency and further optimize patient outcomes | |
| 102 | Rourke K, Halyk LJ, MacNeil J, Malic C. Perioperative protocols in ambulatory breast reconstruction: a systematic review. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2023;85:252–263. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Authors added two new items and modified seven items in the ERAS protocol for ambulatory breast reconstruction patients. | IIIA |
| 103 | Smith HJ, Leath CA 3rd, Straughn JM Jr. Enhanced recovery after surgery in surgical specialties: gynecologic oncology. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1275–1285 | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of ERAS in gynecologic oncology is discussed, implementation strategies are included. | VA |
| 104 | Hayman A. Enhanced recovery after surgery in community hospitals. Surg Clin North Am . 2018;98(6):1233–1239. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementing ERAS in a community hospital is discussed. | VA |
| 105 | Pearsall EA, McLeod RS. enhanced recovery after surgery: implementation strategies, barriers and facilitators. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1201–1210. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementation success in ERAS is discussed, including barriers and how to overcome. | VA |
| 106 | Nelson G. Enhanced recovery in gynecologic oncology surgery—state of the science. <i>Curr Oncol Rep.</i> 2023;25(10):1097–1104. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of the evidence supporting the use of ERAS in gynecology oncology surgery and implementation strategies. | VA |
| 107 | Saraswathula A, Gourin CG, Vosler PS. Guide to enhanced recovery for cancer patients undergoing surgery: head and neck cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2021;28(12):6932–6935. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Outlines key participants and critical action areas in ERAS protocols in this population of patients, barriers to effective implementation are highlighted. | VA |
| 108 | Jawitz OK, Bradford WT, McConnell G, Engel J, Allender JE, Williams JB. How to start an enhanced recovery after surgery cardiac program. <i>Crit Care Clin</i> . 2020;36(4):571–579. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Strategies and guidance for starting an ERAS program are discussed. | VA |
| 109 | Belouaer A, Cossu G, Papadakis GE et al. Implementation of the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program in neurosurgery. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2023;165(11):3137–3145. | Organization | One neurosurgery program in one hospital | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS program implementation using a multidisciplinary team, an ERAS coach and a dedicated nurse coordinator is discussed. | VA |
| 110 | Spencer P, Scott M. Implementing enhanced recovery after surgery across a United States health system. Anesthesiol Clin . 2022;40(1):1–21. | Organization al Experience | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Describes the implementation of ERAS pathways across all surgical specialties in on health care system. | VA |
| 111 | Ljungqvist O, de Boer HD, Balfour A et al. Opportunities and challenges for the next phase of enhanced recovery after surgery: a review. JAMA Surg. 2021;156(8):775–784. | Expert Opinion | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses implementation and opportunities to improve patient outcomes. | |
| 112 | Roulin D, Demartines N. Principles of enhanced recovery in gastrointestinal surgery. Langenbecks Arch Surg . 2022;407(7):2619–2627. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses the evidence on periopertive management in GI surgery. Focusing on continuous improvement and ERAS compliance. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|-----------------|
| 113 | Xiaoyan C, Wenbin H, Li D et al. Construction and application of enhanced recovery after surgery–optimized management system with nurse-led multidisciplinary cooperation. <i>Nurs Open.</i> 2023;10(7):4526–4535. | Quasi- experimental | Surgical patients, nurses and physicians | 220 surgical patients along with 82 nurses and 98 physicians in an ERAS optimized management system | 220 surgical patients, 82 nurses and 98 physicans who were not in the ERAS system | ERAS observation indicators, nurse professional identity scores, physican satisfaction scores | ERAS optimization led by nurses that was multi- disciplinary was effective at implementaion and increased patient recovery and enhanced nurse professional identity. | IIA |
| 114 | Ellis DB, Agarwala A, Cavallo E et al. Implementing ERAS: how we achieved success within an anesthesia department. BMC Anesthesiol. 2021;21(1):36. | Organization al Experience | One facility | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementation of the anesthesia bundle in the ERAS pathway is described. | VA |
| 115 | Noble KA. Put PEP in your step with a podiatric enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in the outpatient adult population: a best practice implementation project. <i>JBI Evid Implement</i> . 2021;19(1):39–55. | | Day surgery facility in the podiatric patient population | n/a | n/a | n/a | Decreased post-op pain and LOS, further research is needed. | VA |
| 116 | Building Your Core Team. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2023. Accessed August 30, 2024. https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ahrq.gov%2Fsites%2Fd efault%2Ffiles%2Fwysiwyg%2Fhai%2Ftools%2FSurgery%2F8-building-core-team.docx | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS implementation guide AHRQ | VA |
| 117 | Grosh T, Elkassabany NM. Enhanced recovery after shoulder arthroplasty. Anesthesiol Clin. 2018:36(3):417–430. | Organization al Experience | One organization's ERAS protocol | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS in joint arthroplasty is discussed and the need to promote universal adoption. | VA |
| 118 | 2016;20(3):417-430. Salenger R, Morton-Bailey V, Grant M, Gregory A, Williams JB, Engelman DT. Cardiac enhanced recovery after surgery: a guide to team building and successful implementation. <i>Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.</i> 2020;32(2):187–196. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A discussion on successful ERAS implementation. | VA |
| 119 | Shah PR, Leichtle S, Spencer P. Enhanced recovery after surgery, Lean, and claims-based quality databases: how does it all make sense? <i>Curr Opin Angesthesiol</i> . 2021;34(2):161–167. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses lean change management and | IIIA |
| 120 | Brown D, Xhaja A. Nursing perspectives on enhanced recovery after surgery. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1211–1221. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Nurses role in ERAS. | VA |
| 121 | Huber GF, Dort JC. Reducing morbidity and complications after major head and neck cancer surgery: the (future) role of enhanced recovery after surgery protocols. <i>Curr Opin Otolaryngol</i> <i>Head Neck Surg.</i> 2018;26(2):71–77. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Various aspects of ERAS protocols are discussed. | VA |
| 122 | Pooya S, Johnston K, Estakhri P, Fathi A. Successful implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery program in a safety-net hospital: barriers and facilitators. <i>J Perianesth Nurs</i> . 2021;36(5):468–472. | Organization al Experience | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Addresses the barriers to implementation and how to overcome to improve quality and reduce cost. | VA |
| 123 | Shah TA, Knapp L, Cohen ME, Brethauer SA, Wick EC, Ko CY. Truth of colorectal enhanced recovery programs: process measure compliance in 151 hospitals. <i>J Am Coll Surg</i> . 2023;236(4):543–550. | Nonexperim ental | 150 hospitals, ERAS process measures, studied 663 opportunities for improvement | n/a | n/a | Improvement in process compliance | Hospitals have difficulty improving process compliance with ERAS, focus should be on improving ERAS adoption across all hospital types. | IIIA |
| 124 | Feldman LS, Delaney CP, Ljungqvist O, Carli F, eds. The SAGES / ERAS Society Manual of Enhanced Recovery Programs for Gastrointestinal Surgery . Springer; 2015. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS and implementation. | VA |
| 125 | Gap Analysis and Goal-Setting Form. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2023. Accessed August 30, 2024. https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.ahrq.gov%2Fsites%2Fd efault%2Ffiles%2Fwysiwyg%2Fhai%2Ftools%2FSurgery%2F6-gap-analysis-goal.docx | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS implementation guide AHRQ | VA |
| 126 | Pilkington M, Nelson G, Cauley C et al. Development of an enhanced recovery after surgery surgical safety checklist through a modified Delphi process. <i>JAMA Netw Open</i> . 2023;6(2):e2248460. | Qualitative | 105 ERAS experts | n/a | n/a | Create an ERAS Surgical Safety Checklist | The SSC could be modified to align with ERAS recommendations for patients undergoing major surgery within an ERAS protocol. The stakeholder and expert generated ERAS SSC could be adopted directly, or the recommendations for modification could be applied to an existing institutional SSC to facilitate implementation. | IIIA |
| 127 | Worrall, Douglas M., Tanella, Anthony, DeMaria, Samuel,Jr and Miles, Brett A. Anesthesia and Enhanced Recovery After Head and Neck Surgery 2019 | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS overview and implementation of a protocol is discussed. | VA |
| 128 | Rosyidah R, Dewanto A, Hapsari ED, Widyastuti Y. Health professionals perception of enhanced recovery after surgery: a scoping review. <i>J Perianesth Nurs</i> . 2022;37(6):956–960. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | For the ERAS program to be successfully implemented, all healthcare workers must receive continuous training to improve their knowledge of the program, and also demonstrate discipline, strong communication skills, as well as teamwork. A barrier is inadequate time and resources. Knowledge of ERAS programs should be improved due to inadequate understanding. | IIIB |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------|
| 129 | McGreevey JD 3rd. Order sets in electronic health records: principles of good practice. <i>Chest</i> . 2013;143(1):228–235. | Organization al Experience | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Order sets as a clinical decision tool are reviewed in the context of the electronic medical record. One academic medical center's experience in developing an EHR order set embedded with EBP principles and lessons learned for future order set development. | VA |
| 130 | Smith TW Jr, Wang X, Singer MA, Godellas CV, Vaince FT. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a clinical review of implementation across multiple surgical subspecialties. <i>Am J Surg</i> . 2020;219(3):530–534. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A comparison of ERAS across surgical subspecialties with metrics of improvement and success rates. | VA |
| 131 | Colebatch E, Lockwood C. Enhanced perioperative nutritional care for patients undergoing elective colorectal surgery at Calvary North Adelaide Hospital: a best practice implementation project. <i>JBI Evid Synth</i> . 2020;18(1):224–242. | Organization al Experience | One facility | n/a | n/a | n/a | The evaluation of current practice and implementation of best practices related to ERAS nutrition protocols are described. Improvement in fasting, preop carb drinks, early feeding were noted. | VA |
| 132 | Carrilho MPG, Pontifice-Sousa P, Marques RMD. ERAS program—nursing care for patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Acta Paul Enferm. 2021;34:eAPE002105. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Nursing role in ERAS program is discussed. | VA |
| 133 | Hou Q, Luo X, Li H, Hou Y, Zhang Z. The role of nursing in enhanced recovery after surgery programs in accordance with spine surgery: a mini review. J Integr Nurs. 2022;4(1):42–47. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The role of the ERAS nurse in spine surgery is reviewed. | VA |
| | Zheng L, Zhang S. Application and evaluation of a care plan for enhanced recovery after thyroidectomy. <i>Inquiry</i> . 2022;59: 469580221090404. | Quasi- experimental | 120 patients undergoing thyroidectomy | ERAS protocol <i>n=60</i> | Non-ERAS protocol n=60 | Outcomes | The ERAS group had significantly less pain, LOS, and cost than the conventional group. | IIA |
| 135 | Mendes DIA, Ferrito CRC. Preoperative nursing consultations: implementation and evaluation. Journal of Nursing Referencia. 2021;5(8):1–8. | Quasi- experimental | 161 Surgical patients | ERAS nursing consultation n=93 | No ERAS nursing consultation n=65 | Pt satisfaction, LOS, mobilization | Pt satisfaction, LOS and mobilization were all improved in the ERAS consultation group | IIB |
| 136 | Conti D, Pandolfini L, Ballo P et al. The role of the recovery room in improving adherence during an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) implementation program for colorectal surgery: a single-center retrospective analysis. <i>J Perianesth Nurs</i> . 2023;38(2):232–235. | Nonexperim ental | 149 ERAS protocol patients, 119 went to the recovery room and 30 went to the ward post surgery | n/a | n/a | Effect of the recovery room nurse | The RR nurse guaranteed effective patient assistance and ensured appropriate compliance to the postoperative ERAS items. | IIIA |
| | Schultz KP, Kaplan J, Rappaport NH. The nuts and bolts of a successful non-narcotic perioperative enhanced recovery after surgery protocol. <i>Aesthet Surg J.</i> 2021;41(11):NP1769–NP1774. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses ERAS medications and the effectiveness of ERAS protocols on pain control, also addresses patient counseling. | VA |
| 138 | Mendes, Diana I. A., Ferrito, Candida R. d. A. C. and Goncalves, Maria I. R. Nursing Interventions in the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery R: Scoping Review 2018 | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Nursing role in ERAS program is discussed. | VA |
| 139 | Jensen BT. Organization factors in the ERAS bladder cancer pathway: the multifarious role of the ERAS nurse, why and what is important? <i>Semin Oncol Nurs</i> . 2021;37(1):151106. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of the role of the ERAS nurse. | VA |
| 140 | Bordonada K, Davo-Otomo S, Zucker ME, Saito H. The impact of the nurse navigator on patients on a colorectal surgery pathway. <i>Medsurg Nurs.</i> 2020;29(2):109–116. | Quasi- experimental | 100 colorectal surgery patients on an ERAS pathway | ERAS nurse navigator <i>n=50</i> | Without a nurse navigator <i>n=50</i> | LOS, SSI rates, readmissions | Use of a nurse navigator may impact patient adherence to protocols, improve patient outcomes and may decrease the need for analgesia at discharge, promoting high quality, cost effective health care. | IIA |
| 141 | Baimas-George M, Watson M, Elhage S, Parala-Metz A, Vrochides D, Davis BR. Prehabilitation in frail surgical patients: a systematic review. <i>World J Surg</i> . 2020;44(11):3668–3678. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | There were 5 studies in this review for this population of patients, prehabilitation in frail patients may lessen operative risk. | IIB |
| 142 | Baldini G, Ferreira V, Carli F. Preoperative preparations for enhanced recovery after surgery programs: a role for prehabilitation. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1149–1169. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Detailed explanation of the role of prehabilitation to address modifiable risk factors in surgical patients. | VA |
| 143 | Bierle DM, Raslau D, Regan DW, Sundsted KK, Mauck KF. Preoperative evaluation before noncardiac surgery. <i>Mayo Clin Proc.</i> 2020;95(4):807–822. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Focuses an a structured approach to pre-surgical evaluations, evidence for screening tools and preoperative testing are discussed. | VA |
| 144 | Bolshinsky V, Li MH, Ismail H, Burbury K, Riedel B, Heriot A. Multimodal prehabilitation programs as a bundle of care in gastrointestinal cancer surgery: a systematic review. <i>Dis Colon</i> <i>Rectum</i> . 2018;61(1):124–138. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehab programs and the importance of the process are discussed, implications for future research. | IIIA |
| 145 | Borrell-Vega J, Esparza Gutierrez AG, Humeidan ML. Multimodal prehabilitation programs for older surgical patients. Anesthesiol Clin. 2019;37(3):437–452. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Various aspects of prehabilitation are discussed. | VA |
| 146 | Carli F, Bessissow A, Awasthi R, Liberman S. Prehabilitation: finally utilizing frailty screening data. Eur J Surg Oncol . 2020;46(3):321–325. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehab is discussed and the role of interdisciplinary teams. | VA |
| 147 | Chabot K, Gillis C, Carli F. Prehabilitation: metabolic considerations. <i>Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab</i> <i>Care</i> . 2020;23(4):271–276. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehab is discussed and the role of interdisciplinary teams and future research. | VA |
| | Durey BJ, Fritche D, Martin DS, Best LMJ. The effect of preoperative exercise intervention on patient outcomes following bar-iatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Obes Surg.</i> 2022;32(1):160–169. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | RCRI. | IIIA |
| | Duro-Ocana P, Zambolin F, Jones AW et al. Efficacy of supervised exercise prehabilitation programs to improve major abdominal surgery outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Anesth. 2023;86:111053. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Primary | IA |
| 150 | Rohi A, Olofsson MET, Jakobsson JG. Ambulatory anesthesia and discharge: an update around guidelines and trends. <i>Curr Opin Anaesthesiol</i> . 2022;35(6):691–697. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Provided an overview of recent changes in anesthesia and same day discharge. | VB |
| | Esser T, Zimmer P, Schier R. Preoperative exercise and prehabilitation. <i>Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.</i> 2022;35(6):667–673. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A discussion of prehab and future research. | VB |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|-----------------|
| | Falz R, Bischoff C, Thieme R et al. Effects and duration of exercise-based prehabilitation in surgical therapy of colon and rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>J Cancer Res</i> <i>Clin Oncol.</i> 2022;148(9):2187–2213. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehabilitation while the patient is preparing to undergo surgery for colorectal carcinoma improves functional capacity; and might reduce postoperative overall complications, but does not shorten the LOS. | IA |
| 153 | Ferreira V, Lawson C, Ekmekjian T, Carli F, Scheede-Bergdahl C, Chevalier S. Effects of preoperative nutrition and multimodal prehabilitation on functional capacity and postoperative complications in surgical lung cancer patients: a systematic review. <i>Support Care Cancer</i> . 2021;29(10):5597–5610. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehabilitation using mutiple methods that combine nutrition and exercise may have benefits. | IIIA |
| 154 | Fuller S, Kumar SR, Roy N et al. The American Association for Thoracic Surgery Congenital Cardiac Surgery Working Group 2021 consensus document on a comprehensive perioperative approach to enhanced recovery after pediatric cardiac surgery. <i>J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.</i> 2021;162(3):931–954. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Systematic review and delphi for consensus recommendations in pediatric patients undergoing cardiac surgery. | IIIA |
| | Gillis C, Buhler K, Bresee L et al. Effects of nutritional prehabilitation, with and without exercise, on outcomes of patients who undergo colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Gastroenterology</i> . 2018;155(2):391–410. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Review found that nutritional prehabilitation alone or combined with an exercise program significantly decreased length of hospital stay by 2 days in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. There is some evidence that multimodal prehabilitation accelerated the return to presurgical functional capacity | IA |
| 156 | Gillis C, Gramlich L, Culos-Reed SN et al. Third-variable effects: tools to understand who, when, why, and how patients benefit from surgical prehabilitation. <i>J Surg Res.</i> 2021;258:443–452. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Tools looking at prehab components is discussed. | VA |
| 157 | Gillis C, Ljungqvist O, Carli F. Prehabilitation, enhanced recovery after surgery, or both? A narrative review. Br J Angesth . 2022;128(3):434–448. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehab and ERAS are discussed. | VA |
| 158 | Guinn NR, Schwartz J, Arora RC et al. Perioperative quality initiative and enhanced recovery after surgery—Cardiac Society consensus statement on the management of preoperative anemia and iron deficiency in adult cardiac surgery patients. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2022;135(3):532–544. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Addresses anemia and iron deficiency. | IIIA |
| 159 | Gurlit S, Gogol M. Prehabilitation is better than cure. <i>Curr Opin Anaesthesiol</i> . 2019:32(1):108–115. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Elements of prehab are discussed. | VA |
| 160 | Hassan A, Boyle S, Lai W et al. Prehabilitation and education in major abdominal and thoracic surgery reduces length of stay and ventilation days. <i>Physiother Pract Res</i> . 2022;43(2):149–156. | Quasi- experimental | elective major abdominal or thoracic surgery | Patient education and exercise training <i>n=185</i> | No education or exercise training n=185 | LOS, postop pneumonia, unplanned ICU intubation, prolonged mechanical ventilation, hospital readmission, mortality | The exercise group experienced significant reductions is LOS and prolonged ventilation, less superficial SSIs and no other significant differences. | IIA |
| 161 | Hayashi K, Janowski A, Lesnak JB, Sluka KA. Preoperative exercise has a modest effect on postoperative pain, function, quality of life, and complications: a systematic review and meta- analysis. <i>Phys Ther.</i> 2023;103(3):pzac169. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Preoperative exercise has a modest effect on postoperative pain, function, and quality of life within the first 6 months after surgery and reduces the risk of developing postoperative complications in individuals undergoing joint replacement surgery. | IIA |
| 162 | Hirsch KR, Wolfe RR, Ferrando AA. Pre- and post-surgical nutrition for preservation of muscle mass, strength, and functionality following orthopedic surgery. <i>Nutrients</i> . 2021;13(5):1675. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Oral nutrition strategies before and after surgery are discussed. | VA |
| 163 | Hunter TL, Sarno DL, Jumreornvong O, Esparza R, Flores LE, Silver JK. The role of surgical prehabilitation during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. <i>Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am</i> . 2023;34(3):523–538. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Surgical prehab is discussed. | VA |
| 164 | Jensen BT, Lauridsen SV, Scheede-Bergdahl C. The potential of prehabilitation in radical cystectomy pathways: where are we now? Semin Oncol Nurs. 2021;37(1):151107. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A multi-modal approach to prehab is discussed. | VA |
| 165 | cystectomy pathways: where are we now? <i>Semin Oncol Nurs</i> . 2021;37(1):151107. Kumar C, Salzman B, Colburn JL. Preoperative assessment in older adults: a comprehensive approach. <i>Am Fam Physician</i> . 2018;98(4):214–220. | Review Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Preoperative assessment in older adults is discussed. | VA |
| 166 | Liu C, Lu Z, Zhu M, Lu X. Trimodal prehabilitation for older surgical patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2022;34(3):485–494. | Systematic Review w/ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehab improved functional status in older surgical patients. | IIA |
| 167 | Lobo DN, Gianotti L, Adiamah A et al. Perioperative nutrition: recommendations from the ESPEN expert group. <i>Clin Nutr</i> . 2020;39(11):3211–3227. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses the nutritional and metabolic management of surgical patients. | VA |
| 168 | Miralpeix E, Mancebo G, Gayete S, Corcoy M, Solé-Sedeño J. Role and impact of multimodal prehabilitation for gynecologic oncology patients in an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2019;29(8):1235–1243. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The role of prehab in gynecology oncology patients is discussed including guidance for future research. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | Molenaar CJ, van Rooijen SJ, Fokkenrood HJ, Roumen RM, Janssen L, Slooter GD. Prehabilitation versus no prehabilitation to improve functional capacity, reduce postoperative complications and improve quality of life in colorectal cancer surgery. <i>Cochrane Database Syst</i> <i>Rev</i> . 2023;5:CD013259. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Prehab may result in improved functional capacity, evidence is moderate to low. | IA |
| | Murphy AE, Belmont SL, Moriber NA. The use of therapeutic inhaled essential oils (TIEO) as a holistic approach to decrease preoperative anxiety in ERAS gynecological surgery. J Perianesth Nurs. 2022;37(6):787–794. | Quasi- experimental | 53 women undergoing gynecologic surgery | Essential oil aromatherapy | anxiety level before essential oil therapy | Anxiety | Essential oils demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in anxiety scores within this population of patients. | IIB |
| | Ng P, Lee JKD, Tan KY. Finding value with prehabilitation in older persons receiving surgery. Curr Opin Support Palliat Care. 2022; 16(1):19-24. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multi-modal prehab impro9ves functional outcomes following surgery, addressing frailty and overcoming barriers through progam design is discussed. | VA |
| 172 | Norris CM, Close JCT. Prehabilitation for the frailty syndrome: improving outcomes for our most vulnerable patients. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2020;130(6):1524–1533. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The role of prehab in frailty is discussed. | VA |
| 173 | Ocón Bretón MJ, Tapia Guerrero MJ, Ramírez Rodriguez JM et al. Multidisciplinary consensus on nutritional and metabolic therapy in enhanced recovery after abdominal surgery programs: NutRICA Project. Endocrinol Diabetes Nutr (Engl Ed). 2022;69(2):98–111. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review looked at nutritional strategies and recommendation consensus among two societies in Spain. | VA |
| 174 | Ponnambalam M, Alex RM. Preoperative optimization and rapid discharge after coronary artery bypass grafting. <i>Curr Opin Cardiol</i> . 2023;38(6):471–477. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses current evidence and guidelines for preoperative optimization of patients undergoing CABG. | VA |
| | Rinninella E, Biondi A, Cintoni M et al. NutriCatt Protocol improves body composition and clinical outcomes in elderly patients undergoing colorectal surgery in ERAS program: a retrospective cohort study. <i>Nutrients</i> . 2021;13(6):1781. | Quasi- experimental | 302 elderly patients undergoing colorectal surgery | Perioperative nutritional protocol with ERAS <i>n=166</i> | Standard ERAS protocol <i>n=136</i> | Complications, LOS | The nutritional protocol showed significant improvements in that group of patients and should be recommended as part of the ERAS program in elderly patients. | IIA |
| 176 | Ripollés-Melchor J, Carli F, Coca-Martínez M, Barbero-Mielgo M, Ramírez-Rodríguez JM, García- Erce JA. Committed to be fit. The value of preoperative care in the perioperative medicine era. Minerva Anestesiol. 2018;84(5):615–625. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Recommendations for Prehab and introduces a perioperative algorithm for prehab | VA |
| 177 | Sanchez Leon RM, Rajaraman A, Kubwimana MN. Optimizing nutritional status of patients prior to major surgical intervention. <i>Methodist Debakey Cardiovasc J</i> . 2023;19(4):85–96 | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of nutrition, screening, ERAS nutrition related elements, role of interdisciplinary teams. | VA |
| 178 | Shakya P, Poudel S. Prehabilitation in patients before major surgery: a review article. JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc. 2022;60(254):909–915. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of prehab elements is discussed. | VA |
| 179 | Thoft Jensen B, Jensen JB, Love-Retinger N, Bowker M, Retinger C, Dalbagni G. Implementing a multimodal prehabilitation program to radical cystectomy in a comprehensive cancer center: a pilot study to assess feasibility and outcomes. <i>Urol Nurs</i> . 2019;39(6):303–313. | Nonexperim ental | 32 patients who had a radical cystectomy under a 2 week multi- modal prehab program | n/a | n/a | Feasibility and clinical relevance | Implementing the program was feasible and clinically relevant. It supported the maintenance of nutritional and physical function of patients post surgery. | IIIB |
| 180 | Voorn MJJ, Franssen RFW, Hoogeboom TJ et al. Evidence base for exercise prehabilitation suggests favourable outcomes for patients undergoing surgery for non-small cell lung cancer despite being of low therapeutic quality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Eur J Surg Oncol</i> . 2023;49(5):879–894. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Although risk of ineffectiveness was high for half of the prehabilitation programs and certainty of evidence was very low to moderate, prehabilitation seems to result in a reduction of post operative pulmonary and severe complications, as well as LOS in patients undergoing surgery for NSCLC. | IIIA |
| 181 | Yang A, Sokolof J, Gulati A. The effect of preoperative exercise on upper extremity recovery following breast cancer surgery: a systematic review. Int J Rehabil Res. 2018;41(3):189–196. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementing an exercise program and optimizing preoperative fitness before breast cancer surgery in conjuction with rehap may benefit this group of patients. | IIIA |
| | Yau DKW, Underwood MJ, Joynt GM, Lee A. Effect of preparative rehabilitation on recovery after cardiac surgery: a systematic review. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2021;64(2):101391. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Despite the high heterogeneity among physical prehabilitation trials and the uncertainty regarding robust clinical outcomes, physical prehabilitation before cardiac surgery seems to enhance selected postoperative functional performance measures and slightly reduce the hospital length of stay after cardiac surgery. | IA |
| 183 | Ghignone F, Hernandez P, Mahmoud NN, Ugolini G. Functional recovery in senior adults undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer: assessment tools and strategies to preserve functional status. <i>Eur J Surg Oncol.</i> 2020;46(3):387–393. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Some tools and strategies for functional recovery in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer are discussed. | VA |
| 184 | Licina A, Silvers A, Laughlin H, Russell J, Wan C. Pathway for enhanced recovery after spinal surgery—a systematic review of evidence for use of individual components. <i>BMC Anesthesiol</i> . 2021;21(1):74. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS components with high and moderate quality of evidence are presented. | IIIA |
| 185 | Ashok A, Niyogi D, Ranganathan P et al. The enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol to promote recovery following esophageal cancer resection. <i>Surg Today</i> . 2020;50(4):323–334. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Success of ERAS in one institution is described. | VA |
| 186 | Kowa CY, Jin Z, Gan TJ. Framework, component, and implementation of enhanced recovery pathways. J Anesth. 2022;36(5):648–660. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|
| 187 | Scott MJ, Baldini G, Fearon KCH et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) for gastrointestinal surgery, part 1: pathophysiological considerations. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand . 2015;59(10):1212–1231. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS recommendations. | IIIA |
| 188 | Jain SR, Kandarpa VL, Yaow CYL et al. The role and effect of multimodal prehabilitation before major abdominal surgery: a systemic review and meta-analysis. <i>World J Surg.</i> 2023;47(1):86–102. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multimodal prehabilitation improves functional capacity and reduces postoperative complication rates. The studies in the analysis had high degree of heterogenicity between the prehab interventions, therefore the results are interpreted with caution. | |
| 189 | Zaouter C, Damphousse R, Moore A, Stevens LM, Gauthier A, Carrier FM. Elements not graded in the cardiac enhanced recovery after surgery guidelines might improve postoperative outcome: a comprehensive narrative review. <i>J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth</i> . 2022;36(3):746–765. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review looked at components of ERAS that were not graded or recommended, these could be incorporated into an ERAS program for cardiac patients. | VA |
| 190 | Charlesworth M, Klein A. Enhanced recovery after cardiac surgery. Anesthesiol Clin . 2022;40(1):143–155. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Looked at ERAS components in cardiac surgery and emphasized and how to tailor components to all cardiac surgery patients, future research on quality of care. | VA |
| 191 | Chow WB, Rosenthal RA, Merkow RP et al. Optimal preoperative assessment of the geriatric surgical patient: a best practices guideline from the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program and the American Geriatrics Society. J Am Coll Surg. 2012;215(4):453–466. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | NSQIP/AGS best practice guidelines for optimal peroperative assessment of the geriatric surgical patient. | IVA |
| 192 | Debono B, Wainwright TW, Wang MY et al. Consensus statement for perioperative care in lumbar spinal fusion: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. Spine J. 2021;21(5):729–752. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op. | IIIA |
| 193 | Hübner M, Kusamura S, Villeneuve L et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in cytoreductive surgery (CRS) with or without hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC): Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations—part I: preoperative and intraoperative management. <i>Eur J Surg Oncol</i> . 2020;46(12):2292–2310. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations in pre-op, intra-op in Cytoreductive surgery. | IIIA |
| 194 | Scott MJ, Aggarwal G, Aitken RJ et al. Consensus guidelines for perioperative care for emergency laparotomy Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations part 2—emergency laparotomy: intra- and postoperative care. <i>World J Surg.</i> 2023;47(8):1850–1880. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS recommendations. | IIIA |
| 195 | Vernooij LM, van Klei WA, Moons KG, Takada T, van Waes J, Damen JA. The comparative and added prognostic value of biomarkers to the Revised Cardiac Risk Index for preoperative prediction of major adverse cardiac events and all-cause mortality in patients who undergo noncardiac surgery. <i>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</i> . 2021;12(12):CD013139. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 107 articles included in the review. The aim of the review was to investigate if the addition of biomarkers to the RCRI improves predictions of heart related complications during hospitalization in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. Predictions seem to improve with the addition of some biomarkers derived from blood. These are troponin (which measures muscular damage of the heart), brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and (NT-pro)brain natriuretic peptide (NTproBNP) (which both measure severity of heart failure). Conclusion was that Troponin, BNP and NT-proBNP may improve the ability of the RCRI to predict heart-related complications. The ACS-NSQOP- MICA and ACS-NSQIP surgical risk score tools seem to be better at predicting postoperative complications than the RCRI tool, but not heart-related complications. However, due to deficiencies in how the studies were conducted, there is uncertainly whether the results found apply to all patients undergoing surgery other than heart surgery. More and better research on biomarkers with promising predictive performance in other settings is needed. | |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 196 | Duceppe E, Patel A, Chan MTV, et al. Preoperative N-Terminal Pro-B-Type Natriuretic Peptide and Cardiovascular Events After Noncardiac Surgery: A Cohort Study. <i>Ann Intern</i> <i>Med.</i> 2020;172(2):96–104. doi:10.7326/M19-2501. | Quasi- experimental | 16 hospitals in 9 countries, 10,402 patients age 45 or older having noncardiac surgery | NT-proBNP level before surgery and troponin T levels measured daily for up to 3 days after surgery | n/a | To determine if preoperative NT- proBNP has additional predictive value beyond a clinical risk score for the composite of vascular death and myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery (MINS) within 30 days after surgery. | Preoperative NT-proBNP is strongly associated with vascular death and MONS within 30 days after noncardiac surgery and improves cardiac risk prediction in addition to the RCRI. | IIA |
| 197 | Zhang L, Li N, Li Y, Zeng X, Liu M. Cardiac Biomarkers Predicting MACE in Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery: A Meta-Analysis. <i>Front Physiol</i> . 2018;9:1923. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01923. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | 26 studies with 7,877 participants | n/a | n/a | n/a | Patients with elevated BNP/NT-proBNP, Troponin, and hs- CRP preoperativley or immediately postoperatively were at much higher risk of postoperative major cardiovascular events in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. | IIIA |
| 198 | Halvorsen S, Mehilli J, Cassese S et al. 2022 ESC guidelines on cardiovascular assessment and management of patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery. <i>Eur Heart J</i> . 2022;43(39):3826–3924. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | European Society of Cardiology guidelines on cardiovascular assessment and management of patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery, endorsed by the European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care. | IVA |
| 199 | Dort JC, Farwell DG, Findlay M et al. Optimal perioperative care in major head and neck cancer surgery with free flap reconstruction: a consensus review and recommendations from the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Society. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143(3):292–303. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op in major head and neck cancer surgery. | IIIA |
| 200 | Hasil L, Fenton TR, Ljungqvist O, Gillis C. From clinical guidelines to practice: the nutrition elements for enhancing recovery after colorectal surgery. <i>Nutr Clin Pract</i> . 2022;37(2):300–315. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The role of nutrition is discussed and how dieticians can be part of the multidisciplinary team. | VA |
| 202 | Coleman SR, Chen M, Patel S et al. Enhanced recovery pathways for cardiac surgery. <i>Curr Pain</i> Headache Rep. 2019;23(4):28. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS components in all phases of care in cardiac surgery. | VA |
| 203 | Bisch S, Nelson G, Altman A. Impact of nutrition on enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) in gynecologic oncology. Nutrients. 2019;11(5):1088. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The role of nutrition is discussed. | VA |
| 204 | Martínez-Ortega AJ, Piñar-Gutiérrez A, Serrano-Aguayo P et al. Perioperative nutritional support: a review of current literature. Nutrients . 2022;14(8):1601. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Perioperative nutritional support is discussed along with relevant guidelines. | VA |
| 205 | Wischmeyer PE, Carli F, Evans DC et al. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative joint consensus statement on nutrition screening and therapy within a surgical enhanced recovery pathway. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2018;126(6):1883–1895. | Consensus | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Consensus guidelines for nutrition screening and therapy within an ERAS pathway. | IVA |
| 206 | Srivastava D, Hill S, Carty S et al. Surgery and opioids: evidence-based expert consensus guidelines on the perioperative use of opioids in the United Kingdom. Br J Anaesth. 2021;126(6):1208–1216. | Consensus | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Consensus guidelines for the perioperative use of opioids in the UK including a perioperative plan. | IVA |
| 207 | Bruns ERJ, Argillander TE, Van Den Heuvel B et al. Oral nutrition as a form of pre-operative enhancement in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer: a systematic review. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2018;19(1):1–10. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Studies are too heterogenous to conclude that preop oral nutritional support makes a difference but targeting at risk patients is important. | IA |
| 208 | Pinto ACS, Ferreira RS, Gomes PM, de Andrade LB, de Medeiros Tavares J. Evaluation of the effects of prolonged fasting prior to and after operating. <i>Rev Fundam Care Online</i> . 2021;13(1):1161–1166. | Nonexperim ental | 610 general surgery patients | n/a | n/a | Fasting time | Patients fasted for longer that safety standards stipulate cause complications. Multidisciplinary teams should implement protocols to support fasting guidelines. | IIIB |
| 209 | Baxter R, Squiers J, Conner W et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a narrative review of its application in cardiac surgery. Ann Thorac Surg. 2020;109(6):1937–1944. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Presents current ERAS research for use in cardiac surgery. | VA |
| 210 | Stenberg E, Dos Reis Falcão LF, O'Kane M et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in bariatric surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations: a 2021 update. World J Surg. 2022;46(4):729–751. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An updated review of ERAS in bariatric populations with recommended interventions, quality of evidence is low in this population. | IIIA |
| 211 | Osman BM, Tieu TG, Caceres YG, Hernandez VH. Current trends and future directions for outpatient total joint arthroplasty: a review of the anesthesia choices and analgesic options. J Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev. 2023;7(9):e22.00259. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of multi-modal analgesia and anesthesia for outpatients undergoing total joint surgery. | VA |
| 212 | Gillis C, Wischmeyer PE. Pre-operative nutrition and the elective surgical patient: why, how and what? Anaesthesia. 2019;74(Suppl 1):27–35. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses strategies to avoid preoperative malnutrition. | VA |
| 213 | Altman AD, Helpman L, McGee J et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery: implementing a new standard of surgical care. CMAJ. 2019;191(17):E469–E475. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations are provided for pre- op, intra-op and post-op | |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------|
| 214 | Changjun C, Xin Z, Yue L, Liyile C, Pengde K. Key elements of enhanced recovery after total joint arthroplasty: a reanalysis of the enhanced recovery after surgery guidelines. Orthop Surg . 2023;15(3):671–678. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS components are supported by evidence, implementation needs to be optimized. | VA |
| 215 | Brodersen F, Wagner J, Uzunoglu FG, Petersen-Ewert C. Impact of preoperative patient education on postoperative recovery in abdominal surgery: a systematic review. World J Surg. 2023;47(4):937–947. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Potential effects of pt education, implementation and framework but studies are of poor quality. | IIIA |
| 216 | Bollag L, Lim G, Sultan P et al. Society for Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology: consensus statement and recommendations for enhanced recovery after cesarean. Anesth Analg. 2021;132(5):1362–1377. | Consensus | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Consensus statement giving practical and evidence based recommendations for ERAS in Cesarean patients | IVA |
| 217 | Brown JK, Singh K, Dumitru R, Chan E, Kim MP. The benefits of enhanced recovery after surgery programs and their application in cardiothoracic surgery. <i>Methodist Debakey Cardiovasc J</i> . 2018;14(2):77–88. | Organization al Experience | A single hospital | n/a | n/a | n/a | Implementation of ERAS in cardiac surgery in one institution is described, implementing ERAS is safe and effective. | VA |
| 218 | Wu CL, King AB, Geiger TM et al. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative joint consensus statement on perioperative opioid minimization in opioid-naïve patients. <i>Anesth Analg</i> . 2019;129(2):567–577. | Consensus | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | yes+J1 | IVA |
| 219 | Tubog TD. Overview of multimodal analgesia initiated in the perioperative setting. J Perioper Pract. 2021;31(5):191–198. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of the literature on multimodal analgesia. | VA |
| 220 | Madsen AM, Martin JM, Linder BJ, Gebhart JB. Perioperative opioid management for minimally invasive hysterectomy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2022;85(Pt B):68–80. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Opioid crisis is discussed with strategies for multi-modal analgesia and minimizing opioid use. | VA |
| 221 | Burns S, Urman R, Pian R, Coppes OJM. Reducing new persistent opioid use after surgery: a review of interventions. Curr Pain Headache Rep . 2021;25(5):27. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses the opioid epidemic and the use of muti-modal analgesia for pain control. | VA |
| 222 | Day MS, Boryan A. Current evidence-based approaches to multimodal pain control and opioid minimization after arthroscopic and knee preservation surgery. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2024;32(1):e24–e32. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multimodal pain control to minimize opioid consumption is discussed. | |
| 223 | Joshi GP, Abdelmalak BB, Weigel WA et al. 2023 American Society of Anesthesiologists practice guidelines for preoperative fasting: carbohydrate-containing clear liquids with or without protein, chewing gum, and pediatric fasting duration—a modular update of the 2017 American Society of Anesthesiologists practice guidelines for preoperative fasting. <i>Anesthesiology</i> . 2023;138(2):132–151. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Update to ASA fasting guidelines to include carbohydrate loading, gum chewing and peds fasting. | IVA |
| 223 | Lu J, Khamar J, McKechnie T et al. Preoperative carbohydrate loading before colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>Int J Colorectal Dis</i> . 2022;37(12):2431–2450. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Preoperative carbohydrate loading does not significantly impact postoperative glycemic control in patients undergoing colorectal surgery; however, it may be associated with a shorter length of stay and faster return of bowel function. It merits consideration for inclusion within colorectal enhanced recovery after surgery protocols. | IA |
| 224 | Ackerman RS, Tufts CW, DePinto DG et al. How sweet is this? A review and evaluation of preoperative carbohydrate loading in the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Model. Nutr Clin Pract. 2020;35(2):246–253. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discussed the importance of carbohydrate loading, reviews the evidence. | |
| 225 | Faucett WJ, Thomas M. Pre-operative fasting in adults and children: clinical practice and guidelines. Anaesthesia. 2019;74(1):83–88. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Fasting and carbohydrate loading in adults and children is discussed. | VA |
| 226 | Garmpis N, Dimitroulis D, Garmpi A et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery: is it time to change our strategy regarding laparoscopic colectomy? <i>In Vivo</i> . 2019;33(3):669–674. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Overview of ERAS in Lapraroscopic colectomy patients. | VA |
| 227 | Gianotti L, Sandini M, Hackert T. Preoperative carbohydrates: what is new? Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2020;23(4):262–270. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses carbohydrate loading. | VA |
| 228 | Huang EY, Li Z, Chung D et al. Carbohydrate loading and aspiration risk in bariatric patients: safety in preoperative enhanced recovery protocols. J Am Coll Surg. 2023;236(6):1200–1206. | Quasi- experimental | 203 patients undergoing bariatric sugery | Carbohydrate loading n=94 | Non-carbohydrate loading <i>n=109</i> | Safety of a preoperative carb loading drink | In an ERAS protocol, proton pump inhibitor use and a preoperative carbohydrate drink 2 to 4 hours before bariatric surgery did not increase aspiration risk based on gastric volumes and should strongly be considered in all eligible bariatric patients. | IIB |
| 229 | Kotfis K, Jamiol-Milc D, Skonieczna-Żydecka K, Folwarski M, Stachowska E. The effect of preoperative carbohydrate loading on clinical and biochemical outcomes after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. <i>Nutrients</i> . 2020;12(10):3105. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Preoperative oral carb loading in patients undergoing cardiac surgery demonstrated a 20% reduction in the use of inotropic drugs, a 50% reduction of the length of ICU stay, a 28% decrease in aortic clamping duration and a 35% decrease of postoperative insulin requirement | IA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| 231 | Robinson KN, Cassady BA, Hegazi RA, Wischmeyer PE. Preoperative carbohydrate loading in surgical patients with type 2 diabetes: are concerns supported by data? <i>Clin Nutr ESPEN</i> . 2021;45:1–8. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The available research suggests that carbohydrate loading may be implemented in those with T2DM without increased risk forintra- and postoperative hyperglycemia or surgical complications. However, there is strong justification for future research to definitively study this topic. Ultimately, the inclusion of preoperative carbohydrate loading for surgical patients with DM should be guided by the surgical team's clinical judgment and individualized based on patient needs and characteristics. | |
| 232 | Yi HC, Ibrahim Z, Abu Zaid Z et al. Impact of enhanced recovery after surgery with preoperative whey protein-infused carbohydrate loading and postoperative early oral feeding among surgical gynecologic cancer patients: an open-labelled randomized controlled trial. Nutrients. 2020;12(1):264. | RCT | Surgical gynecologic cancer patients | Preoperative whey infused carbohydrate drink and postoperative early oral feeding <i>n=62</i> | Usual care n=56 | LOS, complications | The intervention group has a significant shorter LOS and lower readmission in one month. | IA |
| 233 | Ban KA, Gibbons MM, Ko CY et al. Evidence review conducted for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery: focus on anesthesiology for colorectal surgery. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2019;128(5):879–889. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS program components for patients undergoing colorectal surgery are described. | VA |
| 234 | Nelson G, Fotopoulou C, Taylor J et al. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society guidelines for gynecologic oncology: addressing implementation challenges – 2023 update. <i>Gynecol Oncol</i> . 2023;173:58–67. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Focus of this review was on preop, allergies, intraop analgesia, VTE, opioids and barriers to implementation. | IIIA |
| 235 | Soffin EM, Gibbons MM, Ko CY et al. Evidence review conducted for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery: focus on anesthesiology for total hip arthroplasty. <i>Anesth Analg</i> . 2019;128(3):454–465. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review highlights recommendations for ERAS recommendations focused on anesthesia care in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. | VA |
| 236 | Soffin EM, Gibbons MM, Ko CY et al. Evidence review conducted for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery: focus on anesthesiology for total knee arthroplasty. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2019;128(3):441–453. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review highlights recommendations for ERAS recommendations focused on anesthesia care in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. | VA |
| 237 | Campos SBG, Barros-Neto JA, Guedes GdS, Moura FA. Pre-operative fasting: why abbreviate? Arg Bros Cir Dig. 2018;31(2):e1377. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Supports preoperative fasting guidelines and carbohydrate loading. | VA |
| 238 | Ge LN, Wang L, Wang F. Effectiveness and safety of preoperative oral carbohydrates in enhanced recovery after surgery protocols for patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. <i>Biomed</i> <i>Res Int.</i> 2020:5623596. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The quality of the studies in low and the review shows that oral carbohydrates are probably beneficial for patients with diabetes but more research should be conducted. | IIIA |
| 240 | Korytkowski MT, Muniyappa R, Antinori-Lent K et al. Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized adult patients in non-critical care settings: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107(8):2101–2128 | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Endocrine Society guidelines on the management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized adult patients in non- critical care settings, addresses carbohydrate administration before surgery in diabetic patients. | IVA |
| 241 | Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: application to healthy patients undergoing elective procedures: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preoperative Fasting and the Use of Pharmacologic Agents to Reduce the Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration. Anesthesiology 2017;126(3):376–393. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ASA fasting guidelines | IVA |
| 242 | Denkyi L. An exploration of pre-operative fasting practices in adult patients having elective surgery. <i>Br J Nurs</i> . 2020;29(7):436–441. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The evidence shows that preoperative overnight fasting is associated with the beliefs of health professionals rather than scientific evidence. Importance of education on fasting guidelines. | VA |
| 243 | Dorrance M, Copp M. Perioperative fasting: a review. <i>J Perioper Pract</i> . 2020;30(7-8):204–209. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview and evidence on perioperative fasting. | VA |
| 244 | Jolley A, Jin W, Mansour K, Moore D, Douglas N, Loveday BPT. Reducing preoperative fasting through technology and education in an acute general surgical adult cohort. <i>Joint Comm J Qual</i> <i>Patient</i> 5af. 2023;49(11):584–591. | Organization al Experience | Emergency general surgery unit in one hospital | n/a | n/a | n/a | Pilot program to support fasting guidelines on the ward. | VA |
| 245 | King E. Preoperative fasting durations for adult elective surgical patients: convenient for the professional, but detrimental to the patient? A narrative review. <i>J Perioper Pract.</i> 2019;29(12):393–397. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discussed poor compliance with fasting guidelines. | VB |
| 246 | Yip A, Hogan S, Carey S. Interventions aimed at reducing fasting times in acute hospital patients: a systematic literature review. <i>Nutr Clin Pract.</i> 2021;36(1):133–152. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review highlights that reducing the preoperative fasting period is safe while improving patient's physical and psychological well-being. Further high-quality studies that investigate multimodal interventions, and that utilize implementation science principles, are required in this area. | IIIA |
| 247 | Zietlow KE, Wong S, Heflin MT et al. Geriatric preoperative optimization: a review. Am J Med. 2022;135(1):39–48. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A review of the geriatric population and optimization including assessment, screening tools and the multidisciplinary team. | VA |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|---|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| 248 | Bratzler DW, Dellinger EP, Olsen KM et al. Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2013;70(3):195–283. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based guideline for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. | |
| 249 | Hübner M, Kusamura S, Villeneuve L et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in cytoreductive surgery (CRS) with or without hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC): Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations — part II: postoperative management and special considerations. <i>Eur J Surg Oncol.</i> 2020;46(12):2311–2323. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based recommendations in post-op and special considerations in Cytoreductve surgery | IIIA |
| 250 | Anderson DR, Morgano GP, Bennett C et al. American Society of Hematology 2019 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: prevention of venous thromboembolism in surgical hospitalized patients. <i>Blood Adv.</i> 2019;3[23]:3898–3944. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Guidelines for the management of VTE prevention. | IVA |
| 251 | Guideline for prevention of venous thromboembolism. In: Guidelines for Perioperative Practice. Denver, CO: AORN, Inc; 2024:1149–1174. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | AORN guideline for VTE | IVA |
| 252 | Guideline for preoperative patient skin antisepsis. In: Guidelines for Perioperative Practice. Denver, CO: AORN, Inc; 2024:611–664. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | AORN guideline for Patient Skin Antisepsis | IVA |
| 253 | Guideline for prevention of hypothermia. In: Guidelines for Perioperative Practice. Denver, CO: AORN, Inc; 2024:339–364. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | AORN guideline for the prevention of Hypothermia | IVA |
| 254 | Chen J, Tu Q, Miao S, Zhou Z, Hu S. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation for preventing postoperative nausea and vomiting after general anesthesia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Surg. 2020;73:57–64. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation was associated with lower numbers of patients needing antiemetic rescue, lower incidence of adverse effects after general anesthesia, | IA |
| 255 | Soffin EM, Gibbons MM, Wick EC et al. Evidence review conducted for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Safety Program for Improving Surgical Care and Recovery: focus on anesthesiology for hip fracture surgery. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2019;128(6):1107–1117. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This review highlights recommendations for ERAS recommendations focused on anesthesia care in patients undergoing total hip fracture surgery. | VA |
| 256 | Gan TJ, Belani KG, Bergese S et al. Fourth consensus guidelines for the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting. <i>Anesth Analg</i> . 2020;131(2):411–448. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Evidence based guideline for the care of postoperative nausea and vomiting in adult and pediatric patients. | IVA |
| 257 | Guideline for complementary care. In: Guidelines for Perioperative Practice . Denver, CO: AORN, Inc; 2024:31–78. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | AORN guideline for Complementary Care | IVA |
| 258 | Simmons JW, Dobyns JB, Paiste J. Enhanced recovery after surgery: intraoperative fluid management strategies. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1185–1200. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Goal directed fluid therapy and fluid management is discussed. | |
| 259 | Makaryus R, Miller TE, Gan TJ. Current concepts of fluid management in enhanced recovery pathways. Br J Anaesth . 2018;120(2):376–383. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Perioperative fluid management is discussed. | |
| 260 | Mladinov D, Isaza E, Gosling AF, Clark AL, Kukreja J, Brzezinski M. Perioperative fluid management. Anesthesiol Clin. 2023;41(3):613–629. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Perioperative fluid management is discussed. | VA |
| 261 | French WB, Scott M. Fluid and hemodynamics. Anesthesiol Clin. 2022;40(1):59–71. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Intraoperative fluid therapy is discussed, ERAS pathways can improve perioperative fluid and hemodynamic therapy by avoiding preop dehydration and reducing postoperative dependence on IV fluids. | VA |
| 262 | Sun Y, Chai F, Pan C, Romeiser JL, Gan TJ. Effect of perioperative goal-directed hemodynamic therapy on postoperative recovery following major abdominal surgery—a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>Crit Care.</i> 2017;21(1):141. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | 45 RCTs adults undergoing abdominal surgery | n/a | n/a | n/a | GDFT was associated with significant reduction in short term mortality, long term mortality, and overall complication rates. It also facilitated gastrointestinal recovery and the time to tolerate an oral diet. | IA |
| 263 | Xu C, Peng J, Liu S et al. Goal-directed fluid therapy versus conventional fluid therapy in colorectal surgery: a meta analysis of randomized controlled trials. <i>Int J Surg.</i> 2018;56:264–273. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Compared with conventional fluid therapy, GDFT may not improve patients' postoperative outcome in colorectal surgery. However, the improvement of gastrointestinal function associated with GDFT over con ventional fluid therapy was significant in the surgeries that did not use the ERAS protocol. | IA |
| 264 | Hoang TN, Musquiz BN, Tubog TD. Impact of goal-directed fluid therapy on postoperative outcomes in colorectal surgery: an evidence-based review. <i>J Perianesth Nurs</i> . 2023;38(4):650–656. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | GDFT did not shorten LOS but when implemented as part of ERAS there was a significant reduction in LOS, when used in a non-ERAS setting there was a significant reduction in overall morbidity rate and faster time to bowel motility. | IA |
| 265 | Dmytriiev D, Nazarchuk O, Melnychenko M, Levchenko B. Optimization of the target strategy of perioperative infusion therapy based on monitoring data of central hemodynamics in order to prevent complications. <i>Front Med (Lausanne)</i> . 2022;9:935331. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Describes the benefits of the basic principles of GDFT and methos of monitoring central hemodynamics. | |
| 266 | Kan CFK, Skaggs JD. Current commonly used dynamic parameters and monitoring systems for perioperative goal-directed fluid therapy: a review. Yale J Biol Med . 2023;96(1):107–123. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A review of the technology available to monitor GDFT | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|--|---|------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| 267 | Grundmann CD, Wischermann JM, Fassbender P, Bischoff P, Frey UH. Hemodynamic monitoring with Hypotension Prediction Index versus arterial waveform analysis alone and incidence of perioperative hypotension. <i>Acta Anaesthesiol Scand</i> . 2021;65(10):1404–1412. | | 100 patients undergoing moderate or high risk non-cardiac surgery | Hypotension Prediction Index | Aterial waveform analysis | Duration of hypotensive events evaluated by time-weighted average of hypotension. | The implementation of the (HPI) in conjunction with a personalized treatment protocol led to a reduction in both the frequency and duration of hypotension compared to using arterial waveform analysis alone. This research contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting the shift from predicting to actively preventing hypotension through the use of HPI. | |
| 268 | Calvo-Vecino JM, Ripollés-Melchor J, Mythen MG et al. Effect of goal-directed haemodynamic therapy on postoperative complications in low-moderate risk surgical patients: a multicentre randomised controlled trial (FEDORA trial). <i>Br J Anaesth</i> . 2018;120(4):734–744. | RCT | Adults undergoing major elective surgery | Goal directed fluid therapy group using a esophageal doppler monitor <i>n=224</i> | | Percentage of patients with moderate or severe postoperative complications during the first 180 days after surgery. | There were significantly fewer complications in the GDFT group than the traditional group (8.6% vs 16.6%) | IA |
| 269 | Squara P, Denjean D, Estagnasie P, Brusset A, Dib JC, Dubois C. Noninvasive cardiac output monitoring (NICOM): a clinical validation. <i>Intensive Care Med</i> . 2007;33(7):1191–1194. | Quasi- experimental | 110 patients requiring a pulmonary artery catheter following cardia surgery | Non-invasive cardiac output monitoring (NICOM) | | Accuracy, precision, responsiveness, and reliability of NICOM for detecting cardiac output changes. | Cardiac output measured by NICOM had most often acceptable accuracy, precision, andresponsiveness in a wide range of circulatory situations. | IIA |
| 270 | Waldron NH, Miller TE, Thacker JK et al. A prospective comparison of a noninvasive cardiac output monitor versus esophageal Doppler monitor for goal-directed fluid therapy in colorectal surgery patients. <i>Anesth Analg.</i> 2014;118(5):966–975. | Quasi- experimental | 100 adult patients undergoing elective colorectal surgery | GDFT guided by the NICOM while the EDM was connected n=50 | monitor (EDM) while | Significant differences between the EDM and the NICOM | The NICOM performs similarly to the EDM in guiding GDFT, with no clinically significant differences in outcomes, and offers increased ease of use as well as fewer missing data points. The NICOM may be a viable alternative monitor to guide GDFT. | IIA |
| 271 | Brown EN, Pavone KJ, Naranjo M. Multimodal general anesthesia: theory and practice. Anesth Analq. 2018;127(5):1246–1258. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | | n/a | Describes theory behind multi-modal analgesia/anesthesia | VA |
| 272 | Analy, 2010;27(3):1240-1250. Thilen SR, Weigel WA, Todd MM et al. 2023 American Society of Anesthesiologists practice guidelines for monitoring and antagonism of neuromuscular blockade: a report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Neuromuscular Blockade. <i>Anesthesiology</i>. 2023;138(1):13–41. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | This guideline provides recommendation on the management of neuromuscular monitoring and antagonism of neuromuscular blocking agents during and after anesthesia. The guidance focuses primarily on the type and site of monitoring and the process of antagonizing nueromuscular blockade to reduce residual neuromuscular blockade. | IVA |
| 273 | Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA et al. Management of Postoperative Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. J Pain. 2016;17(2):131–157. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A clnical practice guideline on management of postoperative pain. | IVA |
| 274 | Munro A, Sjaus A, George RB. Anesthesia and analgesia for gynecological surgery. Curr Opin Angesthesiol. 2018;31(3):274–279. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multi-modal analgesia is discussed. | VA |
| 275 | Sharma R, Louie A, Thai CP, Dizdarevic A. Chest wall nerve blocks for cardiothoracic, breast surgery, and rib-related pain. <i>Curr Pain Headache Rep.</i> 2022;26(1):43–56. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The role of nerve blocks in patients undergoing thoracic, breast surgery and rib-related pain is discussed. | VA |
| 276 | Dunkman WJ, Manning MW. Enhanced recovery after surgery and multimodal strategies for analgesia. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1171–1184. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | with vascular death and MINS within 30 days after noncardiac | VA |
| 277 | Nabata KJ, Guo R, Nguyen A, Osborn JA, Wiseman SM. Superiority of non-opioid postoperative pain management after thyroid and parathyroid operations: a systematic review and meta- analysis. <i>Surg Oncol.</i> 2022;41:101731 | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | | n/a | Non-opioid analgesia was superior to the control group for pain control in patients undergoing thyroid and parathyroid operations with no significant difference in complications | IA |
| 278 | Bougie O, Blom J, Zhou G, Murji A, Thurston J. Use and misuse of opioid after gynecologic surgery. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2022;85(Pt B):23–34. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Adherence to ERAS protocols and other strategies to minimize opioid use. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------|
| 279 | Ibrahim M, Elnabtity AM, Hegab A, Alnujaidi OA, El Sanea O. Combined opioid free and loco- regional anaesthesia enhances the quality of recovery in sleeve gastrectomy done under ERAS protocol: a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Anesthesiol</i> . 2022;22(1):29. | RCT | 103 patients undergoing elective laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy | Opioid free anesthesia <i>n=51</i> | Multi-modal analgesia n=51 | Quality of recovery, opioid consumption, time to ambulate, time to oral fluid and time to discharge. | While regional anaesthesia achieved most of the intraoperative analgesia, avoiding intraoperative opioids with the help of this OFA protocol was able to improve several sensible parameters of postoperative functional recovery. | IA |
| 280 | Collinsworth AW, Kouznetsova M, Hall L et al. Impact of an enhanced recovery after surgery program with a multimodal analgesia care pathway on opioid prescribing and clinical outcomes for patients undergoing colorectal surgery. <i>Proc (Baylor Univ Med Cent)</i> . 2023;36(6):706–715. | Quasi- experimental | Elective colorectal surgeries | ERAS program <i>n=238</i> | Traditional care-non- ERAS n=618 | Adherance to care processes and opioid use | mplementing ERAS programs that include MMA care pathways as standard of care may result in more judicious use of opioids and reduce patient recovery time | IIA |
| 281 | Tepper JL, Harris OM, Triebwasser JE et al. Implementation of an enhanced recovery after surgery pathway to reduce inpatient opioid consumption after cesarean delivery. <i>Am J Perinatol.</i> 2023;40(9):945–952. | Organization al Experience | One hospital 92 women in a non-ERAS group and 91 in an ERAS group | n/a | n/a | n/a | There was a significant reduction in inpatient opioid consumption and is recommended to help combat the opioid epidemic. | VA |
| 282 | Keane A, Jardine K, Goldenberg D et al. Opioid versus non-opioid postoperative pain management in otolaryngology. BMC Anesthesiol. 2023;23(1):291. | Nonexperim ental | Two tertiary care centers, one in the US and one in Israel | n/a | n/a | | The importance of non-opioid pain management strategies, findings were that opioid prescriptions at discharge are significantly higher in the US than in Israel. | IIIA |
| 283 | McCoy JA, Gutman S, Hamm RF, Srinivas SK. The association between implementation of an enhanced recovery after cesarean pathway with standardized discharge prescriptions and opioid use and pain experience after cesarean delivery. <i>Am J Perinatol.</i> 2021;38(13):1341–1347. | Organization al Experience | One facility implemented an ERAS pathway | n/a | n/a | n/a | The ERAS pathway resulted in a significan decrease in inpatient and postdischarge opioid consumption while improving pain control. | VA |
| 284 | Brusko GD, Kolcun JPG, Heger JA et al. Reductions in length of stay, narcotics use, and pain following implementation of an enhanced recovery after surgery program for 1- to 3-level lumbar fusion surgery. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E4. | 57 adult patients undergoing elective, posterior 1-3 level lumbar | 57 adult patients undergoing elective, posterior 1-3 level lumbar fusion surgery/40 control patients | intraoperative injection of lip | Patients who had the same procedures without the intervention | n/a | LOS significantly shorter in the ERAS group, ERAS patients consumed less opioids, postop pain scores were lower in the ERAS group, better ambulation in the ERAS group. | |
| 285 | Soffin EM, Wetmore DS, Beckman JD et al. Opioid-free anesthesia within an enhanced recovery after surgery pathway for minimally invasive lumbar spine surgery: a retrospective matched cohort study. <i>Neurosurg Focus</i> . 2019;46(4):E8. | Quasi- experimental | 72 patients undergoing spine surgery | ERAS pathway <i>n=36</i> | Traditional care n=36 | Opioid consumption | There was a significant reduction in total perioperative opioid consumption in patients in the ERAS group. Compliance with ERAS protocols was highest in the preoperative phase and lowest in the postoperative phase highlighting opportunities to provide patient and provider education. | IIB |
| 286 | Hampton H, Torre M, Satalich J et al. Benefits of implementing an enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in ambulatory surgery. <i>Orthop J Sports Med</i> . 2022;10(11):23259671221133412. | Nonexperim ental | 62 patients pre-eras and 62 post-eras who underwent knee surgery. Retrospective review. | n/a | n/a | Post-op pain scores, recovery time and opioid use. | enhanced recovery pathways protocol that includes a standardized intraoperative periarticular bupivacaine, ketamine, and ketorolac injection improves pain scores in the immediate postoperative window, decreases opioid consumption, and reduces recovery time in the PACU for patients undergoing ACL reconstruction. | IIIA |
| 287 | Olausson A, Svensson CJ, Andréll P, Jildenstål P, Thörn S, Wolf A. Total opioid-free general anaesthesia can improve postoperative outcomes after surgery, without evidence of adverse effects on patient safety and pain management: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2022;66(2):170–185. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Concluded that there is firm evidence that opioid-free anesthesia significantly reduced adverse postoperative events, mainly postop nausea and vomiting. | IA |
| 288 | Chakravarthy V, Yokoi H, Manlapaz MR, Krishnaney AA. Enhanced recovery in spine surgery and perioperative pain management. <i>Neurosurg Clin N Am.</i> 2020;31(1):81–91. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multi-modal pain strategies and opioid epidemic are discussed. | VA |
| 289 | Wong M, Morris S, Wang K, Simpson K. Managing postoperative pain after minimally invasive gynecologic surgery in the era of the opioid epidemic. <i>J Minim Invasive Gynecol</i> . 2018;25(7):1165–1178. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Using a multi-modal approach and MIS can minimize postop pain and reduce the use of opioids. | VA |
| 290 | Moulder JK, Boone JD, Buehler JM, Louie M. Opioid use in the postoperative arena: global reduction in opioids after surgery through enhanced recovery and gynecologic surgery. <i>Clin</i> <i>Obstet Gynecol</i> . 2019;62(1):67–86. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Opioid misuse and multi-modal analgesia is discussed. | VA |
| 291 | Schoenbrunner AR, Janis JE. Pain management in plastic surgery. Clin Plast Surg . 2020;47(2):191–201. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multi-modal analgesia is discussed. | VA |
| 292 | Bullock WM, Kumar AH, Manning E, Jones J. Perioperative analgesia in spine surgery: a review of current data supporting future direction. <i>Orthop Clin North Am</i> . 2023;54(4):495–506. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Discusses multi-modal analgesia and ways to reduce opioid consumption. | VA |
| 293 | Richebé P, Brulotte V, Raft J. Pharmacological strategies in multimodal analgesia for adults scheduled for ambulatory surgery. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2019;32(6):720–726. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of multi-modal analgesia for adult surgical patients in outpatient surgery. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|-----------------|
| 294 | Gelman D, Gelmanas A, Urbanaitė D et al. Role of multimodal analgesia in the evolving enhanced recovery after surgery pathways. <i>Medicina (Kaunas)</i> . 2018;54(2):20. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multi-modal analgesia is discussed. | VA |
| 295 | Gabriel RA, Swisher MW, Sztain JF, Furnish TJ, Ilfeld BM, Said ET. State of the art opioid-sparing strategies for post-operative pain in adult surgical patients. <i>Expert Opin Pharmacother</i> . 2019;20(8):949–961. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Multi-modal analgesia and non-opioid options are discussed. | VA |
| 296 | Silinsky JD, Marcet JE, Anupindi VR et al. Preoperative intravenous meloxicam for moderate-to- severe pain in the immediate postoperative period: a Phase IIIb randomized clinical trial in 55 patients undergoing primary open or laparoscopic colorectal surgery with bowel resection and/or anastomosis. <i>Pain Manag.</i> 2021;11(1):9–21. | RCT | Adults undergoing primary open or laparoscopic colrectal surgery | Meloxicam IV <i>n=27</i> | | Safety and efficacy of meloxicam | Meloxicam was associated with significant differences in pain intensity and lower opioid consumption and lower resource utilization. | IA |
| 297 | Noss C, Prusinkiewicz C, Nelson G, Patel PA, Augoustides JG, Gregory AJ. Enhanced recovery for cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2018;32(6):2760–2770. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS for cardiac surgery is discussed including multi- modal analgesia and auditing the program. | VA |
| 298 | Ellis DB, Sisodia R, Paul M et al. Impact of gabapentin on PACU length of stay and perioperative intravenous opioid use for ERAS hysterectomy patients. <i>J Med Syst</i> . 2022;46(5):26. | Nonexperim ental | 2219 ERAS patients receiving gabapentin | n/a | n/a | PACU LOS and opioid requirements | Preoperative gabapentin given as part of an ERAS pathway is associated with statistically but not clinically signifcant increases in PACU LOS and decreases in total perioperative intravenous opioid use. | IIIA |
| 299 | Sukmono R, Ramlan A, Andy A, Satoto D, Septica R. Pre-emptive 600 mg oral gabapentin reduces morphine requirements and postoperative pain following non-obstetric lower abdominal surgery. <i>Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther</i> . 2022;54(1):42–47. | RCT | Patients undergoing non-obstetric lower abdominal surgery | 600 mg oral gabapentin two hours before skin incision <i>n=36</i> | Placebo n=36 | Post-op pain and morphine requirements | The intervention group has less pain and less requirements for morphine. | IA |
| 300 | Peltrini R, Cantoni V, Green R et al. Efficacy of transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block in colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Tech Coloproctol.</i> 2020;24(8):787–802. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | TAP blocks provided effective analgesia and a significant reduction in opioid use and did not lead to increased complications. | IIA |
| 301 | Torgeson M, Kileny J, Pfeifer C, Narkiewicz L, Obi S. Conventional epidural vs transversus abdominis plane block with liposomal bupivacaine: a randomized trial in colorectal surgery. J Am Coll Surg . 2018;227(1):78–83. | RCT | Patients undergoing open and laparoscopic colorectal surgery | TAP block with liposomal bupivacaine n=44 | Epidural n=39 | LOS | TAP was associated with a 0.5 day reduction in LOS compared with epidural. | IIA |
| 302 | Elsayed HH, Moharram AA. Tailored anaesthesia for thoracoscopic surgery promoting enhanced recovery: The state of the art. <i>Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med</i> . 2021;40(2):100846. doi:10.1016/j.accpm.2021.100846. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | When compared to thoracic epidural analgesia and intercostal nerve block, paravertebral blockade can offer a near similar level of analgesia and has demonstrated ability to fast track patients after thoracic surgery. | VA |
| 303 | Gianakos AL, Romanelli F, Rao N et al. Combination lower extremity nerve blocks and their effect on postoperative pain and opioid consumption: a systematic review. <i>J Foot Ankle Surg.</i> 2021;60(1):121–131. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Nerve blocks show substantial improvement in postoperative pain levels, opioid consumption and patient satisfaction. | IIA |
| 304 | Sztain JF, Gabriel RA, Said ET. Thoracic epidurals are associated with decreased opioid consumption compared to surgical infiltration of liposomal bupivacaine following video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for lobectomy: a retrospective cohort analysis. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2019;33(3):694–698. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for lobectomy | liposomal bupivacaine injection <i>n=14</i> | Thoracic epidural analgesia <i>n=31</i> | Opioid consumption | Patients consumed less opioids if they received the thoracic epidural compared to liposomal bupivacaine. | IIB |
| 305 | Williams L, Iteld L. Moving toward opioid-free breast surgery: regional blocks and a novel technique. <i>Clin Plast Surg.</i> 2021;48(1):123–130. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Different regional blocks for breast surgery are described. | VA |
| 306 | Conti D, Valoriani J, Ballo P et al. The clinical impact of pectoral nerve block in an 'enhanced recovery after surgery' program in breast surgery. <i>Pain Manag.</i> 2023;13(10):585–592. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing breast surgery n=114 | Pectoral nerve block n=57 | General anesthesia alone <i>n=57</i> | Pain, opioid consumption, LOS | All three outcomes were significantly lower in the intervention group. | IIA |
| 307 | Pricolo VE, Fei P, Crowley S, Camisa V, Bonvini M. A novel enhanced recovery protocol, combining multimodal analgesia with liposomal bupivacaine and pharmacologic intervention, reduces parenteral opioid use and hospital length of stay after colectomy—a cohort study. <i>Int J</i> <i>Surg Open</i> . 2018;13(12):24–28. | Quasi- experimental | 109 patients undergoing elective colectomy | Focused enhanced recovery protocol (ERP), which included multimodal analgesia with liposomal bupivacaine and targeted pharmacologic intervention with intravenous ketoroloac and metoclopramide n=39 | | Postoperative parenteral opioid use and LOS | The ERP protocol patients had significanly less opioid use, shorter LOS and proved to be feasible and effective. | IIA |
| 308 | Li, Yujia, Shen, Zhien, Wang, Hongmei, Feng, Tonghui and Xia, Yanfei. Efficacy of liposomal bupivacaine for pain control in shoulder surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis 2022 | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Liposomal bupivocaine is similar to other agents in terns of overall pain relief, more adequately powered studies should be conducted. | IA |
| 308 | Patel MA, Gadsden JC, Nedeljkovic SS et al. Brachial plexus block with liposomal bupivacaine for shoulder surgery improves analgesia and reduces opioid consumption: results from a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. <i>Pain Med</i> . 2020;21(2):387–400. | RCT | Adults undergoing total shoulder arthroplasty or rotator cuff repair | ultrasound guided brachial plexus block with liposomal bupivocaine n=69 | | VAS pain intensity scores, total opioid consumption, percentage of opioid patients and first opioid resucre through 48 hours. | Single-injection BPB with LB 133 mg provided analgesia through 48 hours postsurgery with reduced opioid use compared with placebo after shoulder surgery. | |



| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|-----------------|
| 309 | Jogerst K, Thomas O, Kosiorek HE et al. Same-day discharge after mastectomy: breast cancer surgery in the era of ERAS. Ann Surg Oncol. 2020;27(9):3436–3445. | Quasi- experimental | Retrospective review of patients undergoing mastectomies from 2013-2018 n=487 | 140 after ERAS protocol | 347 ERAS patients | Postoperative outcomes | ERAS patients had a higher rate of being discharged on the same day, received liposomal bupivocaine for pain control and lower complication rate. | |
| 310 | Razi SS, Stephens-McDonnough JA, Haq S et al. Significant reduction of postoperative pain and opioid analgesics requirement with an enhanced recovery after thoracic surgery protocol. <i>J</i> <i>Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.</i> 2021;161(5):1689–1701. | Quasi- experimental | 372 patients undergoing thoracid surgery | ERAS protocol with liposomal bupivacaine n=184 | Pre-ERAS n=126 | pain level, in- hospital and post- discharge opioid use, complications, LOS | ERAS patients had a significant reduction in postop pain and opioid requirements. | IIA |
| 311 | Lung BE, Karasavvidis T, Sharma AK et al. Cryoneurolysis is a safe, effective modality to improve rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty. <i>Life (Basel)</i> . 2022;12(9):1344. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing total knee replacements | Cryoneurolysis n=29 | No treatment n=28 | ROM improvement and opioid analgesics. Secondary outcomes, postop complications. | Although not statistically significant, cryoneurolysis patients had a shorter length of stay (2.5 vs. 3.5 days) and overall less inpatient and outpatient MME requirements. Cryoneurolysis patients had statistically significant improved 6-week ROM and 1-year follow-up | IIB |
| 312 | Isaza E, Santos J, Haro GJ et al. Intercostal nerve cryoanalgesia versus thoracic epidural analgesia in lung transplantation: a retrospective single-center study. <i>Pain Ther</i> . 2023;12(1):201–211. | Quasi- experimental | 72 patients undergoing bilateral lung transplantation | Cryoneurolysis n=29 | thoracic epidural analgesia n=43 | complications, opioid use and pain scores. | In lung transplantation, Cryo was found to be safe with analgesic effectiveness similar to TEA. Cryo may be advantageous in this complex patient population, as it can be used in all clinical scenarios and eliminates risks and delays associated with TEA | |
| 313 | Juncker RB, Mirza FM, Gagnier JJ. Reduction in opioid use with perioperative non-pharmacologic analgesia in total knee arthroplasty and ACL reconstruction: a systematic review. <i>SICOT-J</i> . 2021;7:63. | Systematic Review | Analyzed analgesia used in orthopedic surgery patients. Investigating three non pharmacologic analgesics: percutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation, cryoneurolysis, and auricular acupressure. | n/a | n/a | n/a | Of these three non-pharmacologic options, cryoneurolysis shows the most promise. | |
| 314 | Mihalko WM, Kerkhof AL, Ford MC, Crockarell JR Jr, Harkess JW, Guyton JL. Cryoneurolysis before total knee arthroplasty in patients with severe osteoarthritis for reduction of postoperative pain and opioid use in a single-center randomized controlled trial. <i>J Arthroplasty.</i> 2021;36(5):1590–1598. | RCT | Patients undergoing total knee replacements | Cryoneurolysis n=62 | Standard care n=62 | Postoperative opioid use | Findings from the analysis suggest that preoperative cryoneurolysis in patients with knee osteoarthritis can reduce opioid consumption and improve functional outcomes after TKA. | IA |
| 315 | O'Connor LA, Dua A, Orhurhu V, Hoepp LM, Quinn CC. Opioid requirements after intercostal cryoanalgesia in thoracic surgery. J Surg Res. 2022;274:232–241. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing bilateral thoracic surgery | cryoneurolysis <i>n=80</i> | Standard analgesia n=80 | Postoperative opioid use | Significantly less opioid use in the cryoneurolysis group. | |
| 316 | Raggio BS, Barton B, Grant MC, McCoul ED. Intraoperative cryoanalgesia for reducing post- tonsillectomy pain: a systemic review. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngo I. 2018;127(6):395–401. | Systematic Review | 153 tonsillectomy patients | n/a | n/a | n/a | The available evidence suggests that patients undergoing tonsillectomy with cryoanalgesia experience less average postoperative pain without additional complications. | |
| 317 | Tanaka A, Al-Rstum Z, Leonard SD et al. Intraoperative intercostal nerve cryoanalgesia improves pain control after descending and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repairs. <i>Ann Thorac Surg</i> . 2020;109(1):249–254. | Quasi- experimental | Aortic aneurysm repair | cryoneurolysis n=26 | Standard care n=98 (paravertebral block) | Pain scores and opoid use | cryoanalgesia improved pain control and reduced narcotic use after descending and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repairs compared with those who only received paravertebral block. | IIB |
| 318 | Cha PI, Min JG, Patil A, Choi J, Kothary NN, Forrester JD. Efficacy of intercostal cryoneurolysis as an analgesic adjunct for chest wall pain after surgery or trauma: systematic review. <i>Trauma Surg</i> <i>Acute Care Open</i> . 2021;6(1):e000690. | Systematic Review | 23 studies, 570 patients undergoing cryoneurolysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | There is overall low-quality evidence supporting intercostal cryoneurolysis as an analgesic adjunct for chest wall pain. A majority of studies demonstrated decreased inpatient narcotic use with intercostal cryoneurolysis compared with conventional pain modalities and may also lead to decreased LOS. | |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| | Verret M, Lauzier F, Zarychanski R et al. Perioperative use of gabapentinoids for the management of postoperative acute pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Anesthesiology</i> . 2020;133(2):265–279. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | RCTs n=281 trials with, 24,682 participants that used gabapentinoids in adult patients undergoing surgery. Primary outcome was the intensity of postoperative acute pain and secondary otucomes included the intensity of postoperative subacute pain, incidence of postoperative chronic pain, cumulative opioid use, persistent opioid use, LOS, and adverse events. The study concluded that there was noc clinically significant analgesic effect for the use of gabapentenoids and no effect on the prevention os postoperative chronic pain and a greater risk of adverse events, the researchers concluded that ther outine use of gabapentenoids were not supported, | IA |
| | Gill C, Giuliano K. Gabapentinoids and acetaminophen as adjuvants for managing postoperative pain. AANA J. 2022;90(3):181–187. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Review looked at the effectiveness of gabapentinoids and acetaminophen in postoperative pain, found that these are useful in decreasing pain but the dosing or dosing regimen is not yet well understood. | IA |
| 321 | Hungerford M, Neubauer P, Ciotola J, Littleton K, Boner A, Chang L. Liposomal bupivacaine vs ropivacaine for adductor canal blocks in total knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomized trial. J <i>Arthroplasty</i> . 2021;36(12):3915–3921. | RCT | 46-experimental group, 54 in standard treatment group | liposomal bupivacaine injection | Ropivacaine | Pain, morphine use, LOS | No statistically significant difference, no difference in pain relief | IA |
| | Byrnes KG, Sahebally SM, Burke JP. Effect of liposomal bupivacaine on opioid requirements and length of stay in colorectal enhanced recovery pathways: a systematic review and network meta- analysis. <i>Colorectal Dis</i> . 2021;23(3):603–613. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Although LB-based interventions were associated with reduced postoperative morphine requirements and length of stay in this network meta-analysis, the confidence in these estimates was graded as very low. Further well-executed trials are required before LB can be recommended as a first-line agent. | IA |
| 323 | Li Y, Shen Z, Wang H, Feng T, Xia Y. Efficacy of liposomal bupivacaine for pain control in shoulder surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>J Shoulder Elbow Surg.</i> 2022;31(9):1957–1968. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Provides evidence indicating that LB is similar to non-LB agents in terms of overall pain relief and opioid requirements. The duration of hospital stay and complication rates were also similar in the 2 groups. Future well-designed and adequately powered randomized controlled studies are needed to confirm our results and to be able to recommend LB for various types of shoulder operations | IA |
| | Brindle ME, Heiss K, Scott MJ, Herndon CA, Ljungqvist O. Embracing change: the era for pediatric ERAS is here. <i>Pediatr Surg Int</i> . 2019;35(6):631–634. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | An overview of the potential for ERAS in pediatric patients and the first World Congress in Pediatric ERAS is discussed. | VA |
| | Zhang H, Zhao Y, Du Y, Yang Y, Zhang J, Wang S. Early mobilization can reduce the incidence of surgical site infections in patients undergoing spinal fusion surgery: a nested case-control study. <i>Am J Infect Control.</i> 2024;52(6):644–649. | Nonexperim ental | Patients who underwent spinal surgery who experienced a SSI were matched with an equal number of controls who did not experience a SSI. | n/a | n/a | The influence of early mobilization on SSI rates. | Early mobilization within 36 hours following spinal fusion surgery significantly reduces the risk of SSI. | |
| 326 | Jasmin M, Zuraida E, Jaata J, Syam Y, Mulhaeriah. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocol: evaluation of the effectiveness of early mobilization in postoperative laparotomy patients: a case study. Int J Caring Sci. 2023;16(1):212–217. | Case Report | One hospital, laparotomy patients | n/a | n/a | n/a | Findings from this case study indicate that early mobilization interventions in postoperative laparotomy patients are very beneficial in decreasing LoS and recovery of intestinal motility. | VA |
| | Koyuncu F, Iyigun E. The effect of mobilization protocol on mobilization start time and patient care outcomes in patients undergoing abdominal surgery. <i>J Clin Nurs</i> . 2022;31(9-10):1298–1308. | Quasi- experimental | Patients undergoing major abdominal surgery | Mobilization protocol n=21 | No protocol n=21 | Start of mobilization, maintenance of mobilization, patient care outcomes | Patients receiving the mobilization protocol started mobilizing earlier, had higher total mobilization time shorter LOS and had higher sleep and satisfaction scores. | IIB |
| 328 | Paul M, Smart TF, Doleman B et al. A systematic review of the impact of postoperative aerobic exercise training in patients undergoing surgery for intra-abdominal cancers. <i>Tech Coloproctol</i> . 2023;27(12):1169–1181. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Postoperative exercise confers benefts in improving aerobic function post surgery and can be safely delivered in various formats (home-based or group/supervised | IIA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|--|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 329 | Millan M, Renau-Escrig AI. Minimizing the impact of colorectal surgery in the older patient. The role of enhanced recovery programs in older patients. <i>Eur J Surg Oncol</i> . 2020;46(3):338–343. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The older population and ERAS is discussed including frailty and risk scores. | VA |
| 329 | Rajan N, Duggan EW, Abdelmalak BB et al. Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia updated consensus statement on perioperative blood glucose management in adult patients with diabetes mellitus undergoing ambulatory surgery. Anesth Analg. 2024;139(3):459–477. | Consensus | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Consensus from the Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia on perioperative blood glucose management in adult patients with DM undergoing ambulatory surgery. | IVA |
| | Liu B, Liu S, Zheng T et al. Neurosurgical enhanced recovery after surgery ERAS for geriatric patients undergoing elective craniotomy: a review. <i>Medicine (Baltimore)</i> . 2022;101(33):e30043. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS components for geriatric patients undergoing elective craniotomy recommendations. | VA |
| 331 | Tan P, Huo M, Zhou X, Zhao B. The safety and effectiveness of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) in older patients undergoing orthopedic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2023;143(11):6535–6545. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Identifying ERAS components that are beneficial to older adults may improve outcomes. | IIA |
| | Boon K, Bislenghi G, D'Hoore A, Boon N, Wolthuis AM. Do older patients (> 80 years) also benefit from ERAS after colorectal resection? A safety and feasibility study. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2021;33(5):1345–1352. | Quasi- experimental | Geriatric patients over 80 undergoing colorectal resection | ERAS group <i>n=45</i> | Non-ERAS group n=106 | Short term complication rate, LOS, 30-day mortality and readmission rate | No difference in complication rate, 30-day mortality or readmission rate. Having a laparoscopic approach was associated with a shorter LOS. | IIB |
| 333 | Ljungqvist O, de Boer HD. Enhanced recovery after surgery and elderly patients: advances. Anesthesiol Clin. 2023;41(3):647–655. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 2023 Update on ERAS and the advances in elderly patients. | VA |
| 334 | Ljungqvist O, Hubner M. Enhanced recovery after surgery-ERAS-principles, practice and feasibility in the elderly. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2018;30(3):249–252. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS in older patients is discussed. | VA |
| | Depalma N, Cassini D, Grieco M et al. Feasibility of a tailored ERAS programme in octogenarian patients undergoing minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer. <i>Aging Clin Exp Res.</i> 2020;32(2):265–273. | Nonexperim ental | 162 patients > 80 years undergoing minimally invasive surgery for colorectal cancer under an ERAS protocol. | n/a | n/a | Outcomes of an ERAS program | The minimal invasive nature of the laparoscopic approach together with a multimodal analgesia therapy, the early resumption to oral diet and mobilisation could minimize the surgical stress and play an essential role in order to reduce medical morbidity in high-risk patients | IIIA |
| | Zhu R, Yang F, Li C, Zhu H, Lin L, Zhao X. Effect of enhanced recovery after surgery on the prognosis of patients with hip fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>J Trauma Nurs</i> . 2023;30(5):271–281. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocols are associated with reduced LOS, complication rate and delirium rate in hip fracture patients. | IA |
| 337 | Devereaux PJ, Sessler DI. Cardiac complications in patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(23):2258–2269. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A review about the epidemiology and mechanisms of perioperative cardiac complications, preoperative methods of predicting those complications, perioperative cardiac interventions and postoperative monitoring. | VA |
| 338 | Duceppe E, Parlow J, MacDonald P et al. Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines on perioperative cardiac risk assessment and management for patients who undergo noncardiac surgery. Can J Cardiol. 2017;33(1):17–32. | Guideline | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Guidelines for the assessment and management of perioperative cardiac risk for patients undergoing non- cardiac surgery. | IVA |
| | Writing Committee for the VISION Study Investigators; Devereaux PH, Biccard BM et al. Association of postoperative high-sensitivity troponin levels with myocardial injury and 30-day mortality among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. JAMA[JAMA and JAMA Network Journals Full Text]. 2017;317(16):1642–1651. | Nonexperim ental | 21842 patients undergoing inpatient non-cardiac surgery | n/a | n/a | If there were high sensitivity troponin thresholds associated with risk of death. | Among patients undergoing noncardiac surgery, peak postoperative high sensitivity troponin levels during the first 3 days after surgery was significantly associated with 30-day mortality. Elevated troponin without an ischemis feature was also associated with 30-day mortality. | IIIA |
| 340 | Smilowitz NR, Redel-Traub G, Hausvater A et al. Myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Cardiol Rev.</i> 2019;27(6):267–273. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | 169 studies reporting outcomes of 530,867 surgeries | n/a | n/a | n/a | MINS occurs frequently in clinical practice but is most common lientCV disease and its risk factors and is associated with increased short and long term mortality. Additional research is needed to define prevention strategies to prevent MONS and treat patients with this diagnosis. | IIIA |
| 341 | Ruetzler K, Smilowitz NR, Berger JS et al. Diagnosis and management of patients with myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2021;144(19):e287–e305. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | A Scientific statement by the American Heart Association that offers a clinical perspective and definition of MINS including epidemiology, pathophysiology, prediction, surveillance, prevntion, prognosis, management and directions for future research. | VA |
| 342 | Olotu C, Weimann A, Bahrs C, Schwenk W, Scherer M, Kiefmann R. The perioperative care of older patients. <i>Dtsch Arztebl int</i> . 2019;116(5):63–69. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | The perioperative care of elderly patients is discussed including recommendations for prehab, pre-op, intra-op and post-op. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| | Mate K, Fulmer T, Pelton L et al. Evidence for the 4Ms: interactions and outcomes across the care continuum. J Aging Health. 2021;33(7-8):469–481. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Geriatric experts and health care system executives in collaboration with IHI developed the 4Ms framework that reduces cognitive load of providers and improves the reliability of evidence-based care for older adults so all clinicians and health care workers can engage in age- friendly care. | VA |
| | Amin SR, Mahdy EW. Can vena cava ultrasound-guided volume repletion prevent general induced hypotension in elderly patients? A mini-fluid challenge. <i>Ain-Shams Journal of Anesthesiology</i> . 2022;14(8):1–8. | RCT | 88 patients above 60 years of age with ASA classification of I, II, or III | Received fluid bolus before anesthesia induction <i>n=44</i> | Did not receive a fluid bolus n=44 | The effectiveness of IVC ultrasonography in predicting hypotension | VC ultrasonography may be helpful in the prediction of preoperative hypovolemia in elderly patients in the form of high IVC-CI and low dIVCmax. The incidence of hypotension was lower in patients who received fluid infusion before IGA. | |
| | Staheli B, Rondeau B. Anesthetic Considerations in the Geriatric Population . Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing; 2024. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Reviews the evaluation and treatment of geriatric patients and highlights the role of the interprofessional team in managing these patients while undergoing anesthesia. | VA |
| | Brindle ME, McDiarmid C, Short K et al. Consensus guidelines for perioperative care in neonatal intestinal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. <i>World J</i> <i>Surg.</i> 2020;44(8):2482–2492. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS recommendations for neonatal intestinal surgery. | IIIA |
| 347 | Rove KO, Edney JC, Brockel MA. Enhanced recovery after surgery in children: promising, evidence-based multidisciplinary care. <i>Paediatr Anaesth</i> . 2018;28(6):482–492. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Reviews history and elements of ERAS protocols for children and offers strategies of implementation and ideas for future research. | VA |
| 348 | Uday Bhaskar MNS, Sundararajan L. Feasibility of enhanced recovery after surgery in pediatric colostomy reversal. <i>J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg</i> . 2023;28(4):319–324. | Quasi- experimental | 13 ERAS peds patients and 35 traditional care | ERAS protocol | non-ERAS protocol | LOS, complications, readmissions | LOS decreased in ERAS group, less complications | IIB |
| | Su Y, Xu L, Hu J, Musha J, Lin S. Meta-analysis of enhanced recovery after surgery protocols for the perioperative management of pediatric colorectal surgery. <i>J Pediatr Surg.</i> 2023;58(9):1686–1693. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Demonstrated the beneficial role of ERAS in pediatric colorectal patients accelerating rehab, shortening LOS, and decreasing in-hospital costs. | IIA |
| 350 | Kitchin S, Raman VT, Javens T, Jatana KR. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a quality improvement approach. Otolaryngol Clin North Am . 2022;55(6):1271–1285. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS protocols among the peds population is reviewed. | VA |
| 351 | Derderian SC, Rove KO. Enhanced recovery after surgery among adolescents undergoing bariatric surgery. Semin Pediatr Surg . 2020;29(1):150885. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS in pediatric bariatric surgery is discussed. | VA |
| 352 | Felder L, Cao CD, Konys C et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery protocol to improve racial and ethnic disparities in postcesarean pain management. <i>Am J Perinatol.</i> 2022;39(13):1375–1382. | Quasi- experimental | Women who underwent a C-section | ERAS protocol n=100 | Non-ERAS protocol n=100 | Pain scores | A standardized ERAS protocol for postcesarean pain decreases opioid useand may improve some racial disparities in postcesarean pain control. | IIA |
| | Thurston KL, Zhang SJ, Wilbanks BA, Billings R, Aroke EN. A systematic review of race, sex, and socioeconomic status differences in postoperative pain and pain management. <i>J Perianesth Nurs</i> . 2023;38(3):504–515. | Systematic Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Racial minorities, lower socioeconomic status and those who self identify as women experience worse postoperative pain than white patients. Standardization of care may help to reduce this disparity. | IIIA |
| 354 | Lee MH, Li B, Feridooni T et al. Racial and ethnic differences in presentation severity and postoperative outcomes in vascular surgery. <i>J Vasc Surg</i> . 2023;77(4):1274–1288. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | There were significant racial differences in presentation severity and postoperative outcomes. Black, hispanic and indigenous patients were found to have an increased risl of AAA all cause mortality and more likely to experience postop stroke/TIA, amputation and graft thrombosis compared to white patients. | IIIA |
| 355 | Khan IS, Huang E, Maeder-York W et al. Racial disparities in outcomes after spine surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>World Neurosurg</i> . 2022;157:e232–e244. | Systematic Review w/ Meta- Analysis | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Black patients have a significantly higher risk of unfavorable outcomes after spine surgery compared with white patients. Further work in understanding the reasons for these disparities will help develop strategies to narrow the gap among the racial groups. | IIIA |
| | Riepen DW, Gelvez D, Collett GA, Nakonezny P, Estrera KA, Huo MH. Standardized total knee arthroplasty pathway improves outcomes in minority patients. <i>Am J Manag Care</i> . 2021;27(5):e152–e156. | Quasi- experimental | Minority and indigent patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty | ERAS pathway <i>n=182</i> | Non-ERAS pathway n=144 | LOS, PCA use, blood transfusion, postop hemoglobin, complications and discharge disposition | ERAS pathway patients had shorter LOS, decreased PCA use, increased discharge to home, fewer blood transfusions, higher postoperative hemoglobin | IIA |
| 357 | Marques IC, Wahl TS, Chu DI. Enhanced recovery after surgery and surgical disparities. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1223–1232. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Disparities in surgical care are discussed and how ERAS can address these disparities. | VA |
| | Liu JY, Wick EC. Enhanced recovery after surgery and effects on quality metrics. Surg Clin North Am . 2018;98(6):1119–1127. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS quality metrics are discussed. | VA |

| REFERENCE # | CITATION | EVIDENCE TYPE | SAMPLE SIZE/ POPULATION | INTERVENTION(S) | CONTROL/ COMPARISON | OUTCOME MEASURE(S) | CONCLUSION(S) | CONSENSUS SCORE |
|-------------|---|----------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| | Khalil S, Kossl K, Pasik S, Brodman M, Ascher-Walsh C. Quality metrics in minimally invasive gynecologic surgery. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol . 2021;33(4):305–310. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Quality metrics of ERAS are discussed. | VA |
| | Implementation Guide. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Accessed September 3, 2024. https://www.ahrq.gov/hai/tools/ambulatory-surgery/sections/implementation/implementation- guide.html | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS implementation guide AHRQ | VA |
| | Dong Y, Zhang Y, Jin C. Comprehensive economic evaluation of enhanced recovery after surgery in hepatectomy. Int J Equity Health. 2021;20(1):245. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS signifcantly reduced the economic burden of disease on patients (\$8935.02 vs \$10,470.02). The hospital received an incremental beneft in ERAS (the incremental beneft cost ratio value is 1.09), and the total social cost was reduced (\$5958.67 vs \$6725.80). Capital fow diagram analysis demonstrated that the average daily cost per capita in the ERAS group increased (\$669.51 vs \$589.98), whereas the benefts depended on the reduction of hospital stay and productivity loss. | VA |
| | Lee L, Feldman LS. Enhanced recovery after surgery: economic impact and value. Surg Clin North Am . 2018;98(6):1137–1148. | Literature Review | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | ERAS signifcantly reduced the economic burden of disease on patients (\$8935.02 vs \$10,470.02). The hospital received an incremental beneft in ERAS (the incremental beneft cost ratio value is 1.09), and the total social cost was reduced (\$5958.67 vs \$6725.80). Capital fow diagram analysis demonstrated that the average daily cost per capita in the ERAS group increased (\$669.51 vs \$589.98), whereas the benefts depended on the reduction of hospital stay and productivity loss. | VA |
| | Abola RE, Bennett-Guerrero E, Kent ML et al. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative joint consensus statement on patient-reported outcomes in an enhanced recovery pathway. <i>Anesth Anolg</i> . 2018;126(6):1874–1882. | Consensus | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Recommendations for patient reported outcomes. | |
| | Bikhchandani J. Enhanced recovery after surgery and its effects on patient reported outcomes. Surg Clin North Am. 2018;98(6):1129–1135. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Tools that can be used to measure patient outcomes is described. | VA |
| | Bull C, Teede H, Watson D, Callander EJ. Selecting and implementing patient-reported outcome and experience measures to assess health system performance. JAMA Health Forum. 2022;3(4):e220326. | Expert Opinion | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | outcome and experience measures to assess health system performance. | VA |
| | Doruker NC, Oden TN, Korkmaz FD. Determination of knowledge and attitudes of cardiac surgery nurses regarding the enhanced recovery after surgery protocol. <i>J Perianesth Nurs</i> . 2023;38(5):710–716. | Nonexperim ental | 50 cardiac surgery nurses in one hospital | n/a | n/a | Knowledge and attitudes regarding ERAS protocols | Increasing knowledge level of ERAS improved attitudes, training is important. | IIIB |